Filed: 7/18/2024 11:44:59 AM WEQC

Mitchell H. Edwards, WSB 6-3880 Kenna J. Blaney, WSB 8-7098 NICHOLAS & TANGEMAN, LLC 170 N. 5th Street; P.O. Box 928 Laramie, WY 82073-0928 (307) 742-7140 edwardsm@wyolegal.com kblaney@wyolegal.com Attorneys for Landowners

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COUNCIL STATE OF WYOMING

| IN THE MATTER OF BLACK HILLS |) |
|--|----------------------|
| BENTONITE PERMIT TO MINE NO. 248C |) Docket No. 24-1601 |
| MURPHY CREEK UPDATE AREA |) |
| |) |

LANDOWNERS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT

Landowners, Bruce Firnekas and Betty Jean Firnekas, hereby respond in opposition to Black Hills Bentonite's Motion for Summary Judgment. The Environmental Quality Council must deny Black Hills Bentonite's motion.

On March 20, 2024, Black Hills Bentonite filed a Petition with the Environmental Quality Council ("EQC") requesting that the EQC issue an "Order In Lieu of Landowner Consent under WYO. STAT. § 35-11-406(b)(xii)." See Petition. As part of the statements made by Black Hills Bentonite in the Petition, Black Hills Bentonite stated that Landowners "have refused to consent to the surface use by BHB." See Petition, ¶ 17. Since 1973, Wyoming law has provided protection to landowners, requiring that any application for a permit to mine, where the landowner is different than the owner of the mineral estate (i.e. a split estate), include "an instrument of consent" from the resident, agricultural landowner, or the surface landowner, and

requiring the posting of a bond to protect and pay the landowner. See WYO. STAT. § 35-11-406(b)(xi-xii) (requiring consent); and, WYO. STAT. § 35-11-416 (requiring posting of bond).

The only thing that Black Hills Bentonite's motion shows (particularly with any competent evidence) is that the mineral owner is different than the landowner, i.e. that a split estate exists. Landowners agree there exists no dispute that regarding this particular property that a split estate exists, i.e. that the owner of the mineral estate is separate from the owner of the surface estate. But whether the estate is a split estate is only one part of an analysis regarding the requirement to obtain consent to a mine plan and reclamation plan under Wyoming law. Instead of seeking an order in lieu of landowner consent, Black Hills Bentonite's motion seeks a declaratory judgment as to what a statement in an old deed says and means, and what its rights are under that statement in relation to Landowners' property rights under Landowners' warranty deed. It appears that Black Hills Bentonite seeks a declaratory judgment not for the issuance of an order in lieu of landowner consent, but instead seeks an order that it is not required to obtain consent to the mining plan and reclamation plan from the landowner as required by state law. This Council does not have the authority or jurisdiction to make such a declaration judgment, particularly under a proceeding brought to seek an order in lieu of consent. See WYO. STAT. § 1-37-101, et seq. (Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act). Only "[c]ourts of record within their respective jurisdictions may declare rights, status and other legal relations. WYO. STAT. § 1-37-102; see also, Best v. Best, 2015 WY 133, 357 P.3d 1149 (Wyo. 2015).

In the case of a resident or agricultural landowner, the required consent must include permission to enter and commence surface mining operations and also written approval of the applicant's mining plan and reclamation plan. WYO. STAT. § 35-11-406(b)(xi) (emphasis added).

¹ Wyoming law has required bonding for the protection of the landowner since 1957. See W.S. 1957, § 35-502.33; see also Belle Fourche Pipeline Co. v. State, 766 P.2d 537, 547 (Wyo. 1988)

Regardless of permission to enter, a resident or agricultural landowner could not remotely be considered to have provided consent to the applicant's mine plan and reclamation plan decades before any such mine plan or reclamation plan was created or submitted with an application for a permit to mine. In the case of a resident or agricultural landowner, without both the written permission to enter and commence mining and also written approval of the mining plan and reclamation plan, the application for a permit to mine cannot be approved. Id. There is no alternative procedure to obtaining said permission to enter and commence mining and/or written approval of the mine plan and reclamation plan. Id. "Those owners [residents or agricultural landowners] were given an absolute veto to an application" Belle Fourche Pipeline Co. v. State, 766 P.2d 537, 547 (Wyo. 1988). Here, Landowners acquired their title through conveyance from members of their immediate family, who have held title since as far back as July 11, 1962 when Landowners' father, Church Firnekas, acquired the property.² (See Exhibit 1, attached hereto). And, the property is used for agricultural ranching operations (e.g. grazing). Black Hills Bentonite was notified that the property was used for grazing as far back as May 5, 2022 when Landowners were trying to get Black Hills Bentonite to engage in negotiations. (See Exhibit 2, attached hereto).

In 1975, the Wyoming legislature provided surface owner protection to other landowners who were/are not residents or agricultural landowners. WYO. STAT. § 35-11-406(b)(xii). The protection of these other owners, using their land for other purposes, i.e. those that do not meet the definition of Section 406(b)(xi), were not granted absolute veto power over mine permit applications, but were/are afforded a qualified veto power. *Id*; see also, *Belle Fourche Pipeline Co.*, 766 P.2d at 547. For applications for permits to mine after March 1, 1975 that include lands

² Chester Firnekas is Landowners' brother. Landowner Bruce Firnekas has owned the property since 2001, when his brother conveyed the property to him as joint tenants with rights of survivorship. (See *Exhibit 1*).

not covered by Section 406(b)(xi) the applicant is required to obtain an "instrument of consent, ..., to the mining plan and reclamation plan." WYO. STAT. § 35-11-406(b)(xii). Again, it is impossible to obtain an instrument of consent to the mine plan and reclamation plan from the surface landowner prior to the mine plan and reclamation plan being created and submitted. If the permit applicant cannot obtain consent "as to the mining plan or reclamation plan or both," from a surface landowner, who is not a resident or agricultural landowner, then and only then can the applicant request a order in lieu of consent from the EQC.³ In order to obtain an order in lieu of consent from the EQC, as to these other surface landowners, the applicant has to prove and the EQC has to find: (A) That the mining plan and the reclamation plan have been submitted to the surface owner for approval; (B) That the mining plan and the reclamation plan is detailed so as to illustrate the full proposed surface use including proposed routes of egress and ingress; (C) That the use does not substantially prohibit the operations of the surface owner; and, (D) The proposed plan reclaims the surface to its approved future use, in segments if circumstances permit, as soon as feasibly possible. *Id.* Even if Landowners were merely surface landowners under Section 406(b)(xii), Black Hills Bentonite has not provided competent evidence to meet its burden under the statute for an order in lieu of consent.

As the Wyoming Supreme Court has held:

³ In both its Petition and now in its Motion, Black Hills Bentonite boldly asserts that it has attempted to negotiate a surface use agreement with Landowners. This is simply false, and a false attempt to paint Landowners as being uncooperative. Rather, it is Landowners who have sought to negotiate a surface use agreement with Black Hills Bentonite which would provide both consent and address the bonding requirement. (See *Exhibit I*, *Exhibit J*, *Exhibit 2*, and *Exhibit 3*). At each and every effort by Landowners, Black Hills Bentonite has rebuffed and refused to even remotely undertake any effort to negotiate a surface use agreement with Landowners. In fact, most recently, in response to the Landowners request to negotiate a surface use agreement to resolve this issue, Black Hills Bentonite responded by filing its Motion and stating: "Please note that we spoke with our client regarding the offer to settle consistent with a surface use agreement. Black Hills Bentonite has decided to decline the offer and continue with the ongoing litigation with the EQC." (See *Exhibit 3*).

The effect of all of this legislation was to alter the traditional relationship between the dominant mineral estate and the servient surface estate. A residential or agricultural land owner was afforded a dominant position with respect to a mining application because of the absolute veto power. A surface owner who devoted the land to other purposes also enjoyed a dominant position in light of the qualified veto power extended to him.

Belle Fourche Pipeline Co., 766 P.2d at 547 (emphasis added).

Not only do the Landowners here enjoy an absolute veto power as agricultural landowners under Section 406(b)(xi), but even if the Landowners were considered other surface landowners under Section 406(b)(xii), Black Hills Bentonite has not shown (let alone even discussed) the required elements it must show to obtain an order in lieu of consent. And, even then, if it obtains consent, without an agreement with Landowners, Wyoming law requires Black Hills Bentonite to post a bond for the "use and benefit of the surface owner" or a "permit shall not be issued." Wyo. STAT. § 35-11-416.

In conclusion, the Council must deny Black Hills Bentonite's Motion for Summary Judgment.

Dated this 18th day of July 2024.

Mitchell H. Edwards, WSB 6-3880

Kenna J. Blaney, WSB 8-7098 NICHOLAS & TANGEMAN, LLC

170 N. 5th Street; P.O. Box 928

Laramie, WY 82073-0928

(307) 742-7140

edwardsm@wyolegal.com kblaney@wyolegal.com

Attorneys for Landowners

CERTIFCATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned does hereby certify that on the 18th day of July 2024, I caused a true

and correct copy of the foregoing document to be served as follows:

| Patrick Tolley John Masterson Kayla Albertson Welborn Sullivan Meck & Tooley, P.C. 159 North Wolcott, Suite 220 Casper, WY 82601 ptolley@wsmtlaw.com jmasterson@wsmtlaw.com kalbertson@wsmtlaw.com | [X] U.S. Mail [] Fed Ex/UPS [] Hand-Delivered [X] E-Mail | |
|--|--|----------|
| Todd Parfitt, Director Wyoming Dept. of Environmental Quality 200 West 17 th Street Cheyenne, WY 82002 karin.quigley@wyo.gov | [X] U.S. Mail [] Fed Ex/UPS [] Hand-Delivered [X] E-Mail | |
| Gregory Weisz Wyoming Attorney General's Office 109 State Capitol Cheyenne, WY 82002 gregory.weisz@wyo.gov | [X] U.S. Mail[] Fed Ex/UPS[] Hand-Delivered[X] E-Mail | |
| Wyoming Environmental Quality Council 2300 Capital Ave. Hathaway Bldg. 1 st , Room 136 Cheyenne, WY 82002 ryan.schelhaas@wyo.gov kellie.doran1@wyo.gov | [X] U.S. Mail [] Fed Ex/UPS [] Hand-Delivered [X] E-Mail | Original |

Mitchell H. Edwards

EXHIBIT 1

386 WARRANTY DEED-KORM NO. 9 WARRANTY DEED CHURCH H. ZIRNEKAS and MAGOIE E. FIRNEKAS . husband and wife. Wyoming ____, for and in consideration of Six thousand and 00/100 ths -----DOLLARS paid, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, CONVEY AND WARRANT TO ... CHESTER O. FIRNERAS Johnson County and State the following described real estate, situate in of Wyoming, hereby releasing and waiving all rights under and by virtue of the homestead exemption laws of the State. Township 42 North, Range 82 West 6th P.M. Section 51: SEANW Township 41 Horth, Rango 83 West 6th P.M. Section 1: Lots 1,2,3,4 and SaNa Township 42 North, Range 83 West oth P.M. Section 35: SEASE containing 400 acres, more or less, together with all improvements situate thereon and all apportenances thereunto belonging, subject to execuent and reservations appearing of record. 24517 TATE OF WYTHING SS. This instrument was first for record on Garcing 1967 9:25 AM . c was out recorded in Book 87A. 8 page L. Fee S. 2:00 330 330 Cicerch To Francisco Maggi E Filiala MAONING State of _____ County of Johnson The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 6th, day of January by Chruch R. Firnekas and Maggie E. Firnekas.

Witness my hund and official seal.

My Commission Expires: April 12,1970

090422

Alothrian of March Alpl. at Doctock ft. M. and Recorded in Book 8.7444 of Deed Page of Control of C

WARRANTY DEED

| | TOUNGON | County, and State |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| antor, of | JUHNSUN TEN DOLLARS (\$10.0 | |
| f WYOMING | , for and in consideration of TEN DOLLARS (\$10.0 | DOLLARS |
| | | DOLLARS |
| hand paid, receipt wh | nereof is hereby acknowledged, CONVEY AND WARRANT TO | UTMU DICUM OF |
| | FIRNEKAS AND BRUCE H. FIRNEKAS, AS JOINT TENANTS | WIII KIGAL OF |
| SURVIVORS | PARK | |
| rantee S , of JOHNSO | | |
| he following described | real estate, situate in JOHNSON | County and State |
| f Wyoming, to-wit: | | |
| | TOWNSHIP 42 NORTH, RANGE 82 WEST 6TH P.M. SECTION 31: SE1/4NW1/4 | |
| | TOWNSHIP 41 NORTH, RANGE 83 WEST 6TH P.M. SECTION 1: LOTS 1,2,3,4 AND S1/2N1/2 | |
| | TOWNSHIP 42 NORTH, RANGE 83 WEST 6TH P.M. SECTION 35: SE1/4SE1/4 | |
| | CONTAINING 400 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, | |
| | TOGETHER WITH ALL IMPROVEMENTS SITUATE THEREON ALL APPURTENANCES THEREUNTO BELONGING, | AND |
| | SUBJECT TO EASEMENT AND RESERVATIONS APPEARING | OF RECORD. |
| U.)(J.) | Re Record | |
| | 10.45A 4 33 - 200 - 3 - 24 - 200 10.45A 44 page 200 - 200 200 - 200 - 200 200 - 200 - 200 200 - 20 | |
| | Action of certain | |
| | | |
| ming. | waiving all rights under and by virtue of the homestead exemptio | n laws of the State of Wyo- |
| WITNESS | hand this 26 th day of March Charles Q Char |) Timbers |
| Attest Seal: | | |
| STATE OF Was | muq | |
| COUNTY OF LONG. | ASUCT 1 | |
| The foregoing instrume | ent was acknowledged before me by Chester C. C. | het J. Tichekas |
| this 24th day | y of march | 100 |
| Witness my hand and | | Lia |
| My Commission expire | es: 1-6-2003 Caso | Title of Officer |
| | | |

WARRANTY DEED

| | AND BRUCE H. FIRNEKAS, AS JOINT TENANTS ORSHIP | |
|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | IOHNSON & PARK COUNTIES | County, and |
| tate of WYOMING | for and in consideration of TEN DOLLARS | (\$10.00) AND |
| OTH | IER CONSIDERATIONS | DOLLARS |
| | nereby acknowledged, CONVEY AND WARRANT T | |
| BRUCE H. FIRNEKA | S AND BETTY JEAN FIRNEKAS, AS JOINT TENAM | NTS WITH RIGHT OF |
| SURVIVORSH | IP | · |
| grantee S of PARK | County, and State of | WYOMING |
| he following described real esta | te, situate inJOHNSON | County, and State |
| of Wyoming, to-wit: | | |
| <u>TOW</u> | NSHIP 42 NORTH, RANGE 82 WEST 6TH P.M | |
| | SECTION 31: SE 1/4 NW 1/4 | |
| TOW | NSHIP 41 NORTH, RANGE 83 WEST 6TH P.M. | |
| | SECTION 1: LOTS 1,2,3,4 AND S 1/2 N 1/2 | |
| TOW | NSHIP 42 NORTH, RANGE 83 WEST 6TH P.M. | |
| 1017 | SECTION 35: SE 1/4 SE 1/4 | |
| CON | TAINING 400 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, | |
| TOG | ETHER WITH ALL IMPROVEMENTS SITUATE TH | FREON AND |
| • | PPURTENANCES THEREUNTO BELONGING, | |
| SUBI | ECT TO EASEMENT AND RESERVATIONS APPE | ARING OF RECORD. |
| 500 | | |
| Hereby releasing and waiving all | | or record on 12/18/2013 at 1:58 PM |
| Attest Seal: | Brun 2 | Hintel |
| STATE OF WYDMING | | |
| COUNTY OF JOHNS OF | | |
| The foregoing instrument was a | cknowledged before me by Chester O. F | -irnekas and Bru |
| This 18 th day of | Driember 2 | .20/3 |
| | //, 0/ | Minand |
| Witness my hand and official sea | 31 | MALIUM/X |

Mitchell Edwards

From:

Mitchell Edwards

Sent:

Thursday, May 12, 2022 3:10 PM

To: Subject: Patrick Tolley BHB - Firnekas

Pat:

I spoke to my client about their use of the property. I was correct that they run cattle (cows and calves) on the property. Usually the land is grazed through out the year, for sure in spring after calving and through the summer. They also will bring cattle back in the winter for grazing. There aren't any stock wells on the property, but there are seep springs in the draws that are the source of water.

Mitch

Mitchell H. Edwards

NICHOLAS & TANGEMAN, LLC P.O. Box 928; 170 N. 5th Street Laramie, WY 82073-0928 Telephone: (307) 742-7140

Fax: (307) 742-7160 www.wyolegal.com



PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

The information contained in this message is privileged and confidential and is intended for the use of the addressee.

If the reader of this message is not the addressee, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of the message is strictly prohibited.

If you received this message in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail and delete the above message.

Thank you.

Mitchell Edwards

From: Kayla Albertson <kalbertson@wsmtlaw.com>

Sent: Friday, July 12, 2024 3:20 PM

To: Mitchell Edwards

Cc: Patrick Tolley; John Masterson; Mary Bennett
Subject: BHB and Firnekas Permit to Mine 248C

Attachments: BHB Memo in support of Motion for Summary Judgment.pdf; BHB Motion for Summary

Judgment.pdf

External Email: Use caution before replying, clicking links, and opening attachments.

Good afternoon, Mr. Edwards -

I have attached Black Hills Bentonite's Motion for Summary Judgment and the Memorandum in support of the Motion for Summary Judgment for your records.

A copy of this will be mailed to your office.

Please note that we spoke with our client regarding the offer to settle consistent with a surface use agreement. Black Hills Bentonite has decided to decline the offer and continue with the ongoing litigation with the EQC.

Thank you, and have a good weekend!

Kayla A. Albertson Attorney Welborn Sullivan Meck & Tooley, P.C. 159 North Wolcott, Suite 220 Casper, WY 82601 Office: 307-234-6907 wsmtlaw.com KAlbertson@wsmtlaw.com

My workday may look different from your workday. Please do not feel obligated to respond outside of your normal working hours.



This email message (including any attachments) is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately notify the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of this message.

