TAKINGS CHECKLIST

	CRITERIA	YES	NO
1.	Does the action affect private property? (If no, no		
	further inquiry is necessary.)		
2.	Is the action mandated by State or federal law? (If yes,		
	go to question 3. If no, go to question 4.)		
3.	Does the proposed action advance a statutory purpose?		
4.	Does the action result in permanent occupation of		
	private property?		
5.	Does the action require the property owner to dedicate		
	property or grant an easement?		
6.	Does the regulatory action interfere with the owner's		
	investment-backed expectations?		
7.	Does the character of the government action balance		
	the public interest and private burdens?		
8.	Does the action deprive the owner of all economically		
	viable uses of the property?		
9.	Does the action have a significant impact on the		
	landowner's economic interest?		
10.	Does the action deny the owner a fundamental attribute		
	of ownership?		
11.	Does the action serve the same purpose that would be		
	served by directly prohibiting use of the land?		
12.	Could the problem which has necessitated the action be		
	addressed in a less restrictive manner?		

If these questions are answered yes, legal counsel should be consulted, for it is possible the proposed action will be a taking.

Water Quality Rules and Regulations Chapters 8, 13, 16, and 27 Takings Checklist Analysis for Proposed Revisions

1. *Does the action affect private property?* No. The proposed changes do not modify existing standards or requirements. The proposed changes move existing standards and requirements from Chapters 13, and 16 to Chapter 27 and correct errors in Chapter 8.

No further inquiry is necessary, per the Wyoming Attorney General's Takings Checklist.