Filed: 1/8/2021 8:57:22 AM WEQC

In Re: LQD Meeting

1	BEFORE THE LAND QUALITY ADVISORY BOARD
2	STATE OF WYOMING
3	
4	IN RE: LQD MEETING
5	
6	
7	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING PROCEEDINGS
9	PURSUANT TO NOTICE duly given to all parties in
10	interest, this matter came on for hearing on the 7th day of
11	October, 2020, at the hour of 10:05 a.m. via webinar at 200
12	West 17th Street, Room 211, Cheyenne, Wyoming, before the
13	Land Quality Advisory Board, Chairman Jim Gampetro,
14	presiding, with Gene Legerski, Natalia Macker, Blake Jones
15	and John Hines, advisory board members also present. Also
16	present were Kyle Wendtland, Land Quality Administrator;
17	Craig Hults, LQD Natural Resource Program Principal; and
18	Keith Guille, Public Information Officer for DEQ.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1			
2		I N D E X	Page
3		Chapter 5 by Mr. Wendtland Chapter 5 by Mr. Hults	7 13
4		Board on Chapter 5	23
5		Chapter 2 by Mr. Wendtland Chapter 2 by Mr. Hults	24 26
6	Comments by		45 44
7	_	-	46
8	Comments on	Chapter 10 by Mr. Wendtland Chapter 10 by Mr. Hults Board on Chapter 10	48 50
9	-	-	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (Meeting proceedings commenced 3 10:05 a.m., October 7, 2020.) 4 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Consider the meeting 5 open. 6 MR. GUILLE: Welcome to the Land Quality Advisory Board meeting. Today is October 7th. My name is 7 8 Keith Guille. I'm the public information officer for the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality. Also joining 9 me today from the Land Quality Division is Kyle Wendtland, 10 11 Land Quality Administrator, and also Craig Hults, Land Quality Division Natural Resource Program Principal. An 12 13 agenda should be available for download and viewing on the GoTo webinar system under "Handouts." 14 Before we begin, I want to remind all public 15 members that their microphone is automatically on mute. To 16 participate during the public comment period, you will need 17 18 to raise your hand by clicking on the "hand" icon located on the GoTo webinar menu options. That should be on the 19 20 right side of your screen. Additionally, video camera 21 capabilities will not be made available for the public. 22 Without further delay, let's get started. I'm going to hand this over to the Land Quality Advisory Board 23 24 Chair Jim Gampetro. Jim.

25 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Thank you. Let's go

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

In Re: LQD Meeting

around and everyone please introduce yourself, indicate 1 where you're from and what type of representative you are 2 3 or whom you are representing. 4 BOARD MEMBER HINES: John Hines, Gillette, Wyoming, representing agriculture. 5 6 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Blake Jones here in 7 Gillette, Wyoming, representing industry. 8 MR. WENDTLAND: Gene, you're muted, if 9 you're trying to get in. 10 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Gene Legerski -- I'm 11 speaking for him -- political subdivision representative. I'm Jim Gampetro, Chairman of this Board, and I'm a public 12 13 representative from Buffalo, Wyoming. MR. WENDTLAND: I'm Kyle Wendtland, the 14 Administrator of Land Quality. 15 MR. HULTS: This is Craig Hults. I'm with 16 17 the Land Quality Division here in Cheyenne. And, Jim, just 18 to let you know, the public won't be able to introduce themselves. 19 20 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: That's fine. We can go forward. If anyone has something to say, I would request 21 22 that you indicate who you are. Many of the members here, we know one another's voices, but if you're not a regular, 23 24 we might not know your voice. So please introduce 25 yourself.

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 What we're going to do is we're going to do a proposal of the rules and packages. We're going to start 2 with the Noncoal Chapter 5, Exploration by Dozing, and then 3 4 we'll move to the Coal Chapter 2, Wind Turbine Rules, and 5 then Noncoal Chapter 10, Limited Mining Operations. 6 Kyle, I think you want to begin by presenting us 7 an overview and then I guess we're going to have to listen 8 to Craig Hults give us the details. So go ahead, Kyle. 9 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, thank you, 10 and to the Board, I'm going to provide a little additional 11 background and some overarching review here just because we 12 do have a new -- a couple new board members. Gene, I 13 believe you've been involved with these for a while, but some of that process, this might be some good background 14 15 for Board Member Legerski as well. So in general, before the Board today are three 16 17 rule packages. We have Chapter 5 was presented and scoped 18 earlier this spring. Chapter 2 was scoped earlier in the summer as well. And Chapter 10 was completed and has since 19

20 undergone an Attorney General's review, and it's come back 21 to us following that review.

In order to prevent that issue that was raised with Chapter 10 in the AG's review, Land Quality has had the AG review and approve the changes to Chapters 5 and 2 prior to this meeting. At the time we were transitioning

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

between new AG reps and that's part of that delay in the review that we got with Chapter 10, but just understand that we have undergone that review and the AG has reviewed the entire chapter. Their review is not confined to just these changes. They actually look at the entire chapter. So they've been through each one of these proposals today and signed off on them.

8 As we've also discussed, these packages thus 9 represent final proposed rule packages for the Board's 10 review today and their recommendations. The LQD, as Jim 11 indicated, will present these in the following order as 12 Chapter 5, Chapter 2 and Chapter 10.

And then we did -- the Land Quality did receive 13 several comments during the scoping periods and a few 14 15 comments following the public notice period this go-round. We spent considerable time revising both Chapters 2 and 5 16 17 and our proposed format today is I'll give you, the Board, 18 and the public kind of the overview of where we are, a review of the new comments we received and then Craig will 19 20 walk you through the changes and then we'll turn this back 21 to the Board and we'll do that for each rule chapter 22 package.

23 So that's our basic walk-through today. Jim, or 24 Mr. Chairman, do you have further questions with that or 25 does the Board today?

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: No, I do not. Any 1 questions from the Board? Well, seeing none, let's 2 3 proceed. 4 MR. WENDTLAND: Okay, Mr. Chairman, we'll 5 open up, then, with Chapter 5. 6 MR. HULTS: I just wanted to let you know 7 that Natalia has now joined. 8 MR. WENDTLAND: Good morning, Board Member 9 Macker. 10 BOARD MEMBER MACKER: Thank you. 11 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, do you want me to revisit any of those items with Board Member Macker 12 now on? 13 14 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Let's ask her. Did she hear it or not? We don't know at this point. 15 BOARD MEMBER MACKER: I think I got the 16 end. I think we should forge ahead, and if I get lost or 17 18 have a question, I'll certainly interrupt. 19 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Okay. I think the most important thing, we'll go over each of these items one by 20 21 one and at the end of each, I'll be looking to you for 22 proposals as to whether or not to vote for approval. So that's kind of the way it's going to go. Any questions? 23 Okay. Let's move forward, then. 24 25 MR. WENDTLAND: All right, Mr. Chairman.

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

So for Chapter 5, the proposed rules were 1 developed to address specific concerns and risks associated 2 with small disturbance licensed to explore operations. The 3 4 scope of the rule revisions were to simplify the 5 regulations for small, less than three-acre disturbances, provide a mechanism for updating the bond of these small 6 7 exploration actions, reduce risk to the state and provide a 8 path for the potential sale of assay material. We believe through the revisions we've made and updates in the AG's 9 10 review that we've struck that balance in meeting those 11 goals while being compatible with the statutes regarding these revisions. 12

13 Specifically, we got comments during the meeting 14 in addition to written comments from Jadex Corporation on 15 this package, and I'll briefly go through those and our 16 responses as there's only about a half a dozen of them.

17 The first one was some questions around the list 18 of minerals. We did add diamond after the advisory board meeting to the list of minerals. The Land Quality does not 19 20 plan to add small operations from those proposed here. 21 This is done such that the proposed revisions address the 22 materials that have been of highest level of license activity based on our metrics and align as close as 23 24 possible to the statute language. So the bottom line here is is we only added diamond to that list because we had 25

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 targeted some very specific resources here.

2	Jadex asked for some clarity on the three acres,
3	whether that would include access roads or not. Land
4	Quality's position on that is the three acres covers the
5	active site and access roads are excluded, similar to LMOs.
6	I have the discretion to adjust the bond amount,
7	if necessary, under Chapter 5, Section 1(b) of the proposed
8	rules. So if we see a disturbance that would require
9	additional bond or that is excessive, I do have the
10	discretion to raise that bond with the annual renewal of
11	the license to explore. That said, the majority or 99
12	percent of these operations are less than a tenth of an
13	acre in size, to put some perspective on that.
14	There's a question regarding the BLM has a five-
14 15	There's a question regarding the BLM has a five- acre exclusion and there was questions as to why Land
15	acre exclusion and there was questions as to why Land
15 16	acre exclusion and there was questions as to why Land Quality was looking at a three-acre alternate view here.
15 16 17	acre exclusion and there was questions as to why Land Quality was looking at a three-acre alternate view here. The three acres is for a variety of reasons. Again, 99
15 16 17 18	acre exclusion and there was questions as to why Land Quality was looking at a three-acre alternate view here. The three acres is for a variety of reasons. Again, 99 percent of these operations are a tenth of an acre or less.
15 16 17 18 19	acre exclusion and there was questions as to why Land Quality was looking at a three-acre alternate view here. The three acres is for a variety of reasons. Again, 99 percent of these operations are a tenth of an acre or less. So we're really looking at those that are three acres or
15 16 17 18 19 20	acre exclusion and there was questions as to why Land Quality was looking at a three-acre alternate view here. The three acres is for a variety of reasons. Again, 99 percent of these operations are a tenth of an acre or less. So we're really looking at those that are three acres or less.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	acre exclusion and there was questions as to why Land Quality was looking at a three-acre alternate view here. The three acres is for a variety of reasons. Again, 99 percent of these operations are a tenth of an acre or less. So we're really looking at those that are three acres or less. This action also allows us to up the bond
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	acre exclusion and there was questions as to why Land Quality was looking at a three-acre alternate view here. The three acres is for a variety of reasons. Again, 99 percent of these operations are a tenth of an acre or less. So we're really looking at those that are three acres or less. This action also allows us to up the bond requirement for these operations. A lot of them are

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

mobilization and de-mobilization. So there is a need to revise the bonding here and this set of rules provides a path for that and that way we get full funding for the reclamation. So that would be Land Quality's response there.

6 The fourth item that Jadex brought up was to 7 bring the new license holders and existing license holders 8 with the new rates and a question around whether it would 9 be applied retroactively.

What would happen here or how the Division would apply these rules is we would institute the new requirements upon their renewal of their existing license. So in practicality, if you had a license that renewed in June of 2021, that was when the new requirement would hit that operator. So we're looking to increase these as the renewals come in under the new requirement.

There's a question about moving and adjusting the 17 18 areas. That can be done through a simple revision process and up to the three acres. So if you have a site and let's 19 20 say the operator applied for a one-acre license to explore 21 and they were operating in the southeast corner and it 22 turns out the northwest corner was where they found material, they could come in and amend that license and add 23 24 the next acre and increase their bond and operate anywhere within that two acres. And you could do that up to the 25

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

three-acre maximum and then at that point, we would be looking to move to a different class of permit to -- or re-class the permit such that we could bond appropriately with an operation getting beyond that three acres in size. So that would be our response there.

6 Then there was a question on the royalties and 7 tax implications with the ability to sell the assay 8 materials and if there's some exemption there. That's beyond the scope of these rules. That would deal with the 9 10 Department of Revenue and I really can't respond to that 11 beyond that question being outside of our scope. And that really -- there was an additional question here on the 12 13 disturbances, but that really was related to our response to comment 2. 14

15 Then we received new comments from the 16 publication this time around and new written comments from 17 Mr. Strid, and his comment, his first comment, is suggested 18 consolidating different types of mining and noted that the 19 different regulatory frameworks for different materials and 20 footprints are confusing and unnecessary.

21 Really, what he's getting at here is a difference 22 between metal and nonmetal minerals. This is really a 23 question beyond the scope of this rulemaking. When we look 24 at combining metal/nonmetal and the size and scope of those 25 operations, that's really outside of what we were initially

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 looking at for the goals with this package.

2	There was a discussion in Mr. Strid's comments
3	about a belief that this would increase the workload to the
4	Land Quality Division. Actually, these rules will simplify
5	the process and will decrease the workload. And we have
6	that knowledge because we did undergo a lien review in 2018
7	and I do have six sigma metrics. So we do have a good
8	understanding of where the Division's resources and time is
9	being spent, and especially with the budget cuts that we're
10	facing, it becomes really important to us that we are
11	protective and careful with those resources and focus them
12	on the mission of the Division. So we did look at that and
13	we are very confident that those metrics indicate that this
14	rule package would help in the management of the resources
15	of the Division.
16	Again, he brings up the Mr. Strid brings up
17	the framework around metal/nonmetal and that is beyond our
18	scope here.
19	And then there was also a discussion from
20	Mr. Strid about the Division somehow contracting with the
21	federal government because it appears that we're doing a
22	fair amount of the BLM's work, which, to some degree, is
23	accurate, but that's also part of the cooperative
24	operations between and noncoal operations between the

25 BLM and the state, and again, this is way beyond the

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

In Re: LQD Meeting

question and scope of this rule package, because we're talking about agreements or MOUs that exist between the BLM and the Land Quality Division here at DEQ.

4 So that pretty much summarizes the comments we've 5 gotten and where we are in relation to Chapter 5. The follow-up that I have here is we might -- the Land Quality 6 7 would agree that there might be other things that could be 8 done with Chapter 5 regarding the metal/nonmetal consolidation of minerals and how that's looked at from an 9 exploration standpoint. It's just that that's a much 10 11 larger undertaking than this was ever developed to do, and if we're going to go down that path, it would be my 12 13 recommendation to the Board that you look at this rule 14 package as stand-alone as is and the goals that were being 15 addressed, and if you would like to have the Division look at expanding this, we would want to study the statutory 16 17 authority of it, the relevance to the specific rule 18 deficiencies, and evaluate those impacts on Division resources and then come back to you with a recommendation 19 of how to move forward, but we think that that would be a 20 totally separate undertaking from what we're at today or 21 22 where we are today.

So with that, I'll let Craig kind of take over
here and walk through the package and we'll go from there.
MR. HULTS: Thank you, Kyle.

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

Board members, Chairman, and the public. I just 1 wanted to inform you, first off, I'm going to be working 2 from the statement of reasons that was posted on the web 3 4 and also forwarded to our board members. I'll try and 5 reference page numbers so you can follow along. 6 For the board members, if I'm going too fast, please let me know, or if I'm not providing enough detail, 7 8 please let me know as well. This Chapter 5, this particular rule package is a 9 little bit different than the other two we'll be discussing 10 11 today. This one is a state initiative. So it's not in response to any legislative changes. We're working within 12 13 the existing legislative framework for exploration by dozing and I just wanted to make that clear. So we're not 14 trying to meet up with any new statutory language. This 15 has to be in the existing language. 16 With that said, I'll just kind of -- what I'm 17 18 planning on doing is just walking through the rule language. The board members, you can stop me at any time 19 if you need to have a question answered or didn't hear 20 21 something. The public will be given an opportunity to 22 comment after. 23 So the first change in this chapter is actually

24 in the section header. This is just done to conform with 25 the Secretary of State's rules on rules and we just deleted

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 the term "noncoal."

2	And then this portion in Section 1 is basically
3	the meat of the chapter and changes that we did. There are
4	other changes made throughout the chapter and the vast
5	majority of those were based on Attorney General's comments
6	during their review for statutory authority.
7	So in Section 1, what we've done is created
8	within the exploration by dozing statute two kind of
9	parallel tracks or different tracks. I would maybe call
10	them exploration dozing light and exploration by dozing
11	heavy, but they still work within the same statutory
12	framework.
13	In Section 1 and this is Section 1(a) on page
14	1 this is where we set up what operations this will
15	apply to, and the operations would be three acres or less
16	for the removal of gold, silver, jade, opal, agate, diamond
17	or titanium. Those type of operations would not be subject
18	to Sections 2 through 5 of the chapter. And furthermore,
19	in this section, we spell out what an applicant needs to do
20	as far as the timing and notifications of other agencies
21	that may need to know about these operations. In this
22	case, it's the Department of Workforce Services, Inspector
23	of Mines.
0.4	

In section (b), we detail the bonding
requirements. The bond will be set for these operations at

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

\$2,500 per acre. If an operation is proposed that is under 1 an acre, the minimum bond would be \$2,500, and the bond has 2 to be posted no later than 30 days after the receipt of 3 4 notification from the administrator. The administrator is given the authority to request an additional bond after 5 reviewing the application materials. He would be able to 6 request an additional bond per acre if the Division felt 7 8 that that was necessary to ensure reclamation. Section (c) is a description of additional 9 10 materials besides the application form. These are the 11 plans that would be required. It's an exploration plan and that would include plans for topsoil removal, stockpiling, 12 13 time for the initiation and completion of reclamation. And then a second to the reclamation plan, and 14 15 this would include the plan for backfilling any trenches or pits, removal of excess material, removal of highwalls, if 16 they were present, and then contour and grading and then 17 18 reestablishment of the drainages. Also, we would be requiring seeding with an approved native seed mix. 19 And the general requirement is that all areas 20 21 disturbed by the exploration activities need to be 22 reclaimed to return the disturbance area to its preexploration conditions and that addressed one of the 23 comments we had regarding the roads. They would be 24 25 excluded from the three-acre limit, but if they were -- if

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

In Re: LQD Meeting

1 there was a disturbance that required reclamation, that 2 would be included.

3 The fourth section in (d), this is just the term 4 of the license to explore. It's issued for one year, but 5 an operator can request a renewal.

6 In section (e), this is for instances where the 7 exploration may have exceeded what was estimated initially 8 and that requires the operator to notify us within 30 days 9 and, if necessary, the bond would be adjusted as well.

10 In section (f), this was a comment that was 11 received during the initial stages of development. This 12 deals with the sale of materials that are discovered during 13 exploration.

14 Prior to us initiating this rulemaking for any exploration activities, they weren't able to either get a 15 market value on them or sell them in any way. This has 16 been added to both this Section 1 and further into the 17 18 chapter for our more normal exploration by dozing operations. So that allows the operators to make a sale 19 and it would be subject to any restrictions by the surface 20 21 or mineral owners.

We're asking that any sales would be reported on the renewal report form and also adds that confidentiality would be handled in accordance with Section 2(d), which follows.

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

In section (g) -- and I'm on page 2 now --1 section (g) is a notice that we would terminate an 2 operation if the operation wasn't commenced within one 3 4 year. So after the filing and approval by the Division, if 5 the operations weren't commenced within that year, that license to explore would be terminated. 6 7 Section (h) is for nonrenewals. If after that 8 one year there was disturbance and we did not receive renewal notification, this requires the operator to 9 initiate reclamation immediately and the renewal would not 10 11 be issued in that case. Section (i), this describes how the bond will be 12 released and it's upon completion of successful reclamation 13 and the termination by the Division of the license. 14 And that will take me to Section 2. The vast 15 majority of these additions and deletions were based on the 16 AG review comments. I would say the majority of them are 17 18 grammatical in nature or provide consistency within the chapter itself and terms that were used throughout our 19 20 program. 21 Now, Section 2 is applicable and the remainder of 22 the sections are applicable to operations that are greater than three acres but less than 40. And this was the 23 original license to explore. So the remainder of these 24 sections would apply to our what I'm calling the normal 25

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 license to explore.

2	And just to kind of run through these kind of
3	quickly, in section (a), there was that designation now we
4	have that it's greater than three acres or less than 40 to
5	provide consistency with the new Section 1.
6	In section (b), there were some grammatical
7	changes. We're removing the numerical terms and putting in
8	the actual spoken word for that.
9	In section (c), Romanette (i) and (ii), those
10	were grammatical changes, again, just kind of flipping the
11	order of the sentences.
12	Moving on to page 3. Again, this section in X,
13	or Romanette (x), these again are basically just
14	grammatical changes. I think it reads a lot better.
15	In Romanette (xi), a small deletion was put in.
16	In section (xii), Romanette (xii), this you will
17	see consistently throughout. In the past, we had used the
18	term "rules and regulations." For consistency, wherever
19	we're finding that, we're just saying "all rules" instead
20	of "rules and regulations."
21	Moving on to page 4, this is in Section 3.
22	Again, for consistency's sake in (a), we're making that
23	"rules and regulations," deletion of the term
24	"regulations." Section (c) was revised again for
25	grammatical changes. The same with section (e).

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826 In Section 4, we removed the term "special" from
special license."

3 In Section 5, again, we're making those numerical 4 changes and had additional language, grammatical changes 5 again.

6 And finally, on pages 5 and 6, I'm in section --7 on the top of the page of page 5, in Romanette (ii), again, 8 the same kind of thing, grammatical changes.

9 In Section 3 on page 5, this is where I had 10 mentioned that we're allowing the minerals discovered 11 during exploration to be sold at the discretion of the 12 operator and that includes any assay or material with 13 unknown market valuation and again contains the restriction 14 on the sale by the surface and mineral owner.

15 In section (b), this was revised. In Romanette 16 (i), that section was deleted based on the AG review. The 17 discussion was that if an application is in violation of 18 the intent of the Wyoming Environmental Quality Act.

19 Realistically, we would never get an application 20 like that. The requirement is to reclaim the land to the 21 equal or highest use. So that instance never comes up. If 22 you file an application and follow the regulations, you 23 should be fine. So we deleted that section. Section 2 was 24 just revised to match the deletion.

25 In Romanette (iii), this is another one that was

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826 deleted, because it shouldn't happen. If an application was filed -- this deals with the bond, and we were stating that if it was insufficient to reclaim the area, that again would not happen, because during our review, we're looking at the acreage amounts or for the bigger operations, they would be submitting plans that describe the applicable bond amounts. So that section was deleted as well.

8 The next two, again, were just corrected to 9 reorder this section.

And then finally, a new Romanette (iv) was added and this states that the application otherwise violates the Environmental Quality Act. This is a new section based on the Attorney General's review.

In subsection (c), this was made for consistency 14 with the current statute. The statute doesn't specifically 15 outline a procedure for renewal. So based on the Attorney 16 General's suggestion, we've limited a license to explore to 17 18 be no longer than one year, but it could be renewed annually after that point. Before, it implied the language 19 20 in this section seemed to imply that they could just go on 21 and on without actually having them end for that one-year 22 term, which is stated in the statute.

And then on page 6, the final Romanette (vii),again, this is a grammatical change.

25 And then finally, in Section 6, this was just

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

rewritten to better fit the statute and clarify the 1 requirements for bond release and forfeiture, and that 2 takes us to the end of the proposed changes in this 3 4 particular rule package. MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, I would also 5 add just as a reminder, I believe I did say it in the 6 7 opening, but when we get to a final package, we're trying 8 to have the AG's review on that package prior to presentation to the Board. And the AG's review requires 9 10 them to conduct a review of the entire chapter, not just 11 the changes that we're looking at, and that's part of why the remainder of these changes from their review are in 12 this package. With that, Mr. Chairman, I think Mr. Hults 13 and I would turn it back to you and the Board. 14 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Okay. Thank you very 15 much. A lot of work there. I would just ask now if there 16 are any comments, suggestions, recommendations based on all 17 18 of this from any of the board members? MR. HULTS: Mr. Chairman, if you could, 19 20 just state your name for the record and when people talk in 21 general. 22 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Yeah, this is Jim Gampetro again. Is there any suggestions, comments, 23 clarifications, recommendations based on what we just 24

25 heard? Well, seeing none, I would then ask for any

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

proposals in terms of motions to approve. 1 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, I would 2 recommend that you open this to the public prior to that 3 4 action. 5 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Certainly. Any comments, suggestions, recommendations from the public? 6 7 MR. GUILLE: This is Keith Guille. You can 8 raise your hand with the application and then we'll unmute your microphone. 9 10 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Guille, do you have 11 anyone with a raised hand? MR. GUILLE: Mr. Chairman. No, 12 Mr. Wendtland, we do not have anybody raising their hands. 13 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Again, since we have 14 15 none, I would put this to the Board and we are open for any motions. I'm not hearing any motions. We need to have a 16 motion to approve or not approve or whatever. 17 18 BOARD MEMBER HINES: This is John Hines. I will move that we approve the changes in Chapter 5. 19 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Thank you, John. 20 Do we have a second to that motion? 21 22 BOARD MEMBER MACKER: Natalia. I will 23 second. CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: It's been moved and 24 25 seconded. All those in favor, please indicate by saying

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 aye. 2 (Board members stated aye.) 3 MR. GAMPETRO: Are there any opposed? 4 Indicate the same way. Being no opposition, the motion carries. 5 6 So we are back to Kyle, Chapter 2, Wind Turbine Rules. 7 8 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, we'll do a very similar walk-through with Chapter 2. I'll provide a 9 little overarching discussion here to start this package. 10 11 This rule package was derived from the statutory provisions that were passed in the 2020 legislative session 12 to 35-11-402 of the act. The Board reviewed the draft 13 rules that were scoped earlier this year. We got great 14 comment, written comment, and feedback. We took that back 15 and we revised this rule package. 16 17 The rule package, then, we worked with the AG to 18 complete their review in similar fashion to Chapter 5. So the package in front of the Board today represents Land 19 Quality's final rule package proposal for the Board to 20 21 review and consider the recommendations thereof. 22 We received a comment from the WMA, PRBRC and Campbell County commissioners prior, and in review of those 23 24 comments, we've gone through and made a number of 25 revisions. Again, Mr. Hults will walk you through those

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

revisions, but the key items that those revisions represent
 were the relocation of the actual changes to a different
 section in Chapter 2.

The land use change options were revised, the burial -- potential burial locations were clarified, and the application of the solid and hazardous waste rules references were also clarified as part of those revisions. So it encompassed a pretty wide scope of updates following the comments we got.

We did receive one new comment, again from Mr. Strid, and he expressed his concern that the rules should be expanded to other materials and other locations beyond coal.

14 Again, my response to that is those actions are 15 beyond the scope of the rulemaking in front of you. They would require further review from the legislature, and I 16 think, based on the legislative record, it was made pretty 17 18 clear that the only thing that we're talking about for disposal here is the inert blades and the inert towers. So 19 I think that the statute and our directive there and the 20 21 goals thereof were pretty clearly laid out for us in 22 developing this rule package.

23 So I appreciate Mr. Strid's comments. I just 24 believe that they're beyond the scope here of what we were 25 asked to look at.

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

Also for the Board's reference, we did not 1 2 receive any new written comments on this proposed package, on this final package, and we believe we have struck the 3 4 correct balance here and are asking for the Board to 5 consider it and looking for your recommendations, and with that, I'll turn it back over to Mr. Hults to give you the 6 7 quick walk-through here. MR. HULTS: Mr. Chairman and board members. 8 For this chapter, I probably won't go into as much detail 9 on some of the grammatical changes just due to the fact 10 11 that this is a 30-page chapter. I'll try and strike the highlights, but again, too fast, too slow, let me know. 12 13 And again, I'll be working off of the file that was posted up on the Land Quality's web page and was 14 forwarded to the board members. So with that, I'll 15 probably just tackle these by pages and make a few comments 16 17 along the way. 18 So on page 1, the first change we made again was to the section header. This was again to provide 19 consistency with the Secretary of State's rules on rules 20 21 and there was one minor grammatical change in section (a) 22 that was made. Moving to page 2, we added language. And again, 23 this comes from the Secretary of State's rules on rules, 24

25 which requires when you reference a statute for the first

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 time in a chapter, you have to spell out the word "Wyoming 2 statute" instead of using the abbreviation. The rest of 3 the changes again were grammatical on page 2.

4 On page 3 we added the term "Applicant Violator 5 System." This was added because we had an acronym that 6 wasn't defined up until that point.

7 On page 4, we made one grammatical change near 8 the top of the page. Just we had duplicate language in 9 Roman Numerals (I) and (II). We just added that to the 10 section (A) and that saves us a little verbiage. And then 11 there were two other minor changes to the grammar and one 12 for consistency with how we've been referring to our rules 13 throughout the chapters.

On Chapter 5 -- or page 5, I'm sorry, again, these were all grammatical or to provide consistency. For example, in section 1, we had referred to the chapters of Water Quality with Roman numerals. That style of chapter reference has since went out of vogue and for consistency, we're making them all numerals now, and the other ones were again just clarification and grammatical changes.

21 On page 6, a couple of minor grammatical changes. 22 And again, we had an undefined acronym. We've provided 23 that for the Natural Resources Conservation Service. 24 On page 7, one grammatical change, and then to 25 provide consistency, we're referring to the reference area

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

with capital letters throughout the chapter and that 1 matches how they're defined in Chapter 1. 2 On page 8, the same thing, for "Life Forms" 3 4 regarding just the capitalization. And then section (D) 5 was just a grammatical change to make it read a little better. 6 7 On page 9, we deleted subsection (viii). We 8 found that that was redundant with section (k) below. So that section was struck and the portion of the language 9 that we didn't have in section (k) was added to (k). 10 11 On page 10, just some grammatical changes again. Nothing for page 11. 12 13 Page 12, we updated the statutory references. We had some obsolete ones that were referenced in there. So 14 15 we've corrected that and then one more grammatical change 16 on that page. 17 Page 13, one grammatical change. The same with 18 page 14. On page 15 -- and again, I should reference, most 19 of these comments came from the Attorney General's review 20 21 and that's why they're not dealing with the wind turbines, 22 but on page 15, again, two grammatical changes and then a statutory reference was updated. 23 On page 16, two grammatical -- three grammatical 24 25 changes were made.

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

On page 17, we changed the word "should" to 1 "shall." I'm still puzzled how that word got in there, 2 3 "should." That's not usually good in rule writing. On page 18, there were no changes. The same with 4 page 19 and 20. 21 and 22 no changes. One small change on 5 page 23, a grammatical correction. 6 7 And then beginning on page 24, this is where we 8 start getting into the wind turbine language. There was one previous grammatical change made in subsection (ii). 9 10 And then this language here we've moved. It was 11 originally in the land use section when we get down to section (F) and the intent here is to put it in a more 12 13 appropriate place. 14 This section, section (ii), is dealing with the plans for backfilling, grading and contouring, and so we 15 felt that these -- because the decommissioned wind turbine 16 blades and towers would be used as backfill, we thought 17 18 that was the more appropriate location and based on comments that we had received as well. 19 So beginning in subsection (F), this is where we 20 21 would require plans for the disposal of inert 22 decommissioned wind turbine blades. This section was also revised from the prior version that the Board had seen and 23 that we had received comments on. It's now talked about in 24 25 terms of disposal.

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

In subsection Roman Numeral (I), we've limited 1 the disposable material to only the inert base material 2 from the decommissioned turbine blades and towers. In 3 4 subsection Roman Numeral (II) --5 BOARD MEMBER HINES: Mr. Chairman, this is John Hines. I had a question on this section where it 6 talks about the blades and towers --7 8 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Go ahead, John. BOARD MEMBER HINES: -- and how they are 9 10 made of different material. The towers are metal, and if 11 they are metal, then why would they not be disposed as other metals, you know, to a scrap dealer or something like 12 13 that? Am I correct in this that towers are metal? 14 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, I can respond 15 to that. CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Go ahead, Kyle. 16 MR. WENDTLAND: Board Member Hines, there 17 18 are metal towers and the majority of those do go to recycling for that reason. However, as the towers have 19 gotten taller, and in particular, some of the offshore 20 towers, they are now being made of the carbon fiberglass 21 composite of similar material construction to the blades. 22 So this provision allows those towers of that type of 23 24 material for disposal. 25 BOARD MEMBER HINES: But then,

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

Mr. Chairman, again, there's no distinguishing between the 1 different towers. The way they're written, they can put 2 the metal towers into the sites that they're disposing? 3 4 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, that's 5 correct. We did not distinguish between that because both materials are inert material. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Kyle -- this is Jim 8 Gampetro. And so the bottom line is either the carbon fiber-type tower parts or the metal tower parts might be 9 10 disposed of in the manner we're talking about or not. I 11 don't understand. MR. WENDTLAND: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, we just 12 13 didn't distinguish between the two. Clearly, there's a market for the metal, but if that market goes away, there 14 may be a need to dispose of that material. Therefore, we 15 left that option open all the way rather than having to 16 come back and possibly readdress the rules again in the 17 18 future. CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Thank you. 19 Mr. Hines, do you understand now? 20 21 BOARD MEMBER HINES: I understand, but I 22 don't agree. I think there should be a distinction between the two materials. Because if you're letting metal be 23 24 buried, why are you limiting it to just the wind charger 25 towers? There's a lot of other metals that companies may

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 want to dispose of in the same manner.

2	MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman.
3	MR. GAMPETRO: Yes. I think that's
4	directed to you, Kyle.
5	MR. WENDTLAND: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, we
6	could make that distinction. I guess I have some
7	reservations on the other side to making that distinction.
8	We're in a we're kind of seeing that situation now where
9	with the wind event that happened in the Midwest and the
10	leveling of the grain bins that occurred, there is no scrap
11	steel market. So there may be, at times, a need for
12	disposal of the metal tower bases here, and again, rather
13	than have to reopen the rules, we felt that it was probably
14	better to treat that and speaking to the statute, it says
15	towers or blades. So we kept the language consistent with
16	the statute rather than making it more restrictive.
17	CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: John this is Jim
18	Gampetro again for John Hines. What is your problem with
19	disposing of metal in this way?
20	BOARD MEMBER HINES: Mr. Chairman, I guess
21	I'm thinking that and I don't understand the other
22	materials, whether it eventually decomposes or not, but
23	metal never would, and if you're allowing metal towers from
24	wind chargers, then this brings up the question why don't
25	you allow other metals to be used in landfills for these

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 mines?

2 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, I would add here that these carbon fiber blades are going to take as 3 4 long or longer to deteriorate than the metals. That's part of the difficulty in disposing of these is there is no, 5 presently no recycling method. There are a couple of 6 7 options that are being explored right now, one in Texas in 8 particular, but they have never been able to scale. So as of right now, there really isn't a defined recycling method 9 10 for these blades. 11 The current disposal methods on the blades are in Europe, they are putting them in concrete vaults and 12 13 heating them up and turning them to ash for disposal and 14 that causes, as you can imagine, a number of issues with 15 air quality controls and then also with the ash itself and then all of the what it requires to actually burn them down 16 to ash. 17 18 With the towers and the metal, again, if I go back to the statute, the statute in item -- we are in 19 35-11-402 and I am on section (B) -- well, I should say 20 under Romanette (xiii), section (B) of the newest book, and 21 22 it states that the removal of all mechanical, electrical and other materials from the decommissioned wind turbine 23 blades and towers, allowing only the base materials of 24

25 blades and towers to be buried. So the rules we drafted

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 are consistent with the statute.

2 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: John, any further 3 comments? 4 BOARD MEMBER HINES: Not on this subject or not on this area right there, but I have two or three and I 5 don't know if this is the time to bring them up or not. 6 With the blades and towers, if the pit isn't deep enough 7 8 for the requirements of how deep they are buried and how much overburden, then there cannot be any towers or blades 9 buried in that site? 10 11 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, that's correct. They have to meet the requirements. 12 BOARD MEMBER HINES: Okay. And I don't 13 know if this is the section to bring it up or not. If not, 14 I can do it later. I still have concerns about the 15 liability later on, and not only on -- you know, when a 16 bond is released, the company is released, then where does 17 18 the liability go? Anything in these rules about that? I failed to see them. 19 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, when the bond 20 is released, the bond is released, and that's why there's 21 22 the monitoring period on the monitoring wells and those things with the Solid & Hazardous Waste Division rules, 23 but, Mr. Chairman, Board Member Hines is correct. We're 24 not looking to hold liability on these sites beyond the 25

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 bonding period.

2	BOARD MEMBER HINES: And, Mr. Chairman,
3	what comes to mind, myself as an agriculture, I guess,
4	representative is the settling. I don't know you know,
5	as you see around the country as things are buried,
6	eventually there's a settlement, and with these
7	settlements, I can see moisture, water, settling in them
8	and cause problems in the future that way. There's
9	no there's no rules or anything that address this, then
10	whoever the landowner is is probably just stuck with it.
11	MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, my response
12	to that would be that is why we put in the construction of
13	lifts and the compaction of these materials is to minimize
14	those potential impacts in the future. I would also add
15	that the majority of these lands are private lands now and
16	these lands are held by these companies. In the event they
17	sell those lands, that should be probably a portion of a
18	disclosure, and I believe that's why we have a map and a
19	disclosure requirement in the proposed rules and
20	regulations.
21	Mr. Hults, do you have anything further on that?
22	But that was that was why the rules were structured the
23	way they were here.
24	MR. HULTS: Mr. Chairman, this is Craig
25	Hults. I'd agree with that assessment. I think we're

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 consistent with the statute.

2	One point on the metal versus nonmetal, one thing
3	to keep in mind is to get those blades into these backfill
4	locations, that won't be free. So if it was a metal and
5	there was a market, I'm sure they would go that direction
6	as opposed to using them as backfill.
7	So that's just one thing to keep in mind, but the
8	remainder of Kyle's discussion, I think we tried to create
9	disposal requirements that would avoid any kind of
10	settling. And again, we are requiring that notification be
11	made on the deed, and those maps would be available, and
12	that may be something that I think if I was in the position
13	to purchase a property like that and saw that there was
14	notice on the deed, that's something that could be worked
15	out as part of the contract as well to minimize that or
16	you're at least going in with notice of that potential.
17	BOARD MEMBER HINES: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: So, John, are you done?
19	BOARD MEMBER HINES: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Thank you.
21	BOARD MEMBER HINES: I have no more
22	questions on this. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Craig, are you
24	you're not done yet.
25	MR. HULTS: No, I'm still on

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: You're back on. 1 2 MR. HULTS: Mr. Chairman, I'm still on page 3 24. So six more pages to go. 4 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Keep going. 5 MR. HULTS: All right. So on the bottom of page 24, again, these are the distinctions between 6 7 disposable material and non-disposable. The non-disposable 8 includes the nacelles and nacelle housings, and there's a requirement to remove all mechanical, electrical and other 9 materials from the blades and towers prior to disposal. 10 11 In Roman Numeral (III) and continuing on to page 25, this is the approved backfill locations. In number 1, 12 13 the prior language that we had there, it was limited to the 14 final pit voids. In response to comments, we added that 15 they could be placed in the end walls or the final pit voids. 16 In number 2, this is the requirement about 17 18 placing the blades above the potentiometric surface. We did get comments on this section as well, asking for 19 20 clarification. In discussion with the hydrologists on 21 staff, the decision was made to require them to be placed a 22 minimum of 20 feet above the pre-mining potentiometric surface of the coal aquifer and a minimum of 20 feet below 23 24 the final regraded spoils surface. 25 In number 3, this is where we need a map and

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 legal description of the disposal locations and also

2 backfill maps and groundwater monitoring location shall be 3 updated annually in the required annual report.

4 In subsection 4, this was a change based on 5 comments and the Attorney General's input. In our previous version, we were requiring a change of land use. Here, the 6 7 language has been revised to say that the disposal location 8 may be designated as a joint or alternative land use, but we're not requiring that. The approval of alternative land 9 uses would follow our normal Chapter 2, Section 6 10 11 requirements.

And you may remember that was actually where we had this language prior, but now we're just pointing out that if you did choose to go the alternative land use route, you would have to comply with the requirements in Chapter 2, Section 6.

And also, this section goes on further that the disposal of the blades and towers must support the postmining land use that's identified in the reclamation plan and references Section 6(x) of this chapter.

In number 5, this is where we request the disclosure and place -- the final reclamation and location of these disposal sites, disclosure must be placed on the real property deed prior to final bond release.

25 In number 6, this is a reference to the location,

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

25

would comply with the Solid & Hazardous Waste Division
 rules at Chapter 4, Section 4.

3 Moving into Roman Numeral (IV), this is the closure requirements. Here is where we describe that the 4 5 material, the turbines and blades, have to be placed in a lift not to exceed ten feet and then be covered by a 6 minimum lift of at least 15 feet of suitable backfill 7 material. And the reason these numbers were put in there, 8 as the rule states, it was in order to minimize potential 9 future surface subsidence. We did add the clarifier from 10 11 the previous version that multiple lifts would be 12 permitted.

13 In subsection 2 of Roman Numeral (IV), this is the groundwater monitoring plan. We did revise this a bit 14 to make it clear who was kind of dealing with this. Here 15 we'd be referring to the Solid & Hazardous Waste Division 16 17 for guidance on the placement and installation; however, 18 those monitoring results would then come to the Land Quality Division and reported in the coal annual report. 19 20 In subsection 3, this is the backfill site would not be -- is released by the Division in accordance with 21 the approved reclamation plan. So we're still following 22 the reclamation plan and the groundwater and vegetation 23 24 monitoring would be required until final bond release.

And then in section -- subsection (V), or Roman

Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

Numeral (v), this is the final surface reclamation requirements. In number 1, we're just saying that it must blend with the surrounding mine reclamation and have a permanent vegetation cover and this is in accordance with our general rules for reclamation at Chapter 4, Section 2 (d).

7 In number 2 -- and I'm on page 26 now -- the 8 final reclamation must drain properly and not impound 9 water, and again, that's in accordance with our current 10 regulations in Chapter 4.

11 And then finally, in subsection Roman Numeral (VI), the operator is required to remit fees in the amount 12 13 of 25 percent of any revenues collected by the operator for the disposal of the turbine blades and towers. We did get 14 some comments on that. But that fee structure was set in 15 statute, so we don't have any ability to change that. We 16 did add the scheduling based on comments we would receive. 17 18 And that takes me through the wind turbine section, I'll call that. The remainder of the changes, 19 again, on page 26, we made a grammatical change again. 20 21 There are no changes proposed on page 27. 22 On page 28, again, this is how we're referring to terms that are defined. In this case, it's "Reference 23 24 Area" again. 25 On page 29, this is the final revision, just a

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

grammatical correction, and that takes me to the end of the 1 2 chapter. 3 MR. WENDTLAND: And with that, 4 Mr. Chairman, we would turn it back to you. 5 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Thank you very much, 6 Craig. 7 Comments, questions, recommendations from the 8 Board? BOARD MEMBER HINES: Mr. Chairman, this is 9 10 John Hines again. I had one question on -- you speak of 11 the operator remitting fees and filing reports. In this case and normally are you referring to the coal company or 12 to the company that is making some kind of arrangement with 13 the coal company to bury these blades? 14 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, Board Member 15 Hines, it would be the coal operator reporting that. You 16 know, if they have a subsidiary, they would report through 17 18 that subsidiary through the company, because the activity would be occurring within the affected area of the coal 19 operator's boundary. 20 21 BOARD MEMBER HINES: Okay. And then if --22 Mr. Chairman, if the bond is released, then if that company, as we found out the last few years, go bankrupt, 23 then there's -- it's an open area again, nobody is liable, 24 but it would probably revert to the state? 25

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, Board Member 1 Hines, no, that's not correct. We hold bond for the total 2 disturbance. In the event of a forfeiture, let's say if 3 4 Company X is using this as an option and they're disposing 5 of these blades as backfill in pit 1 and they go into forfeiture, the bond is still held to backfill the entire 6 7 pit. 8 So these aren't going to get left open and the state is not going to get left holding a liability here. 9 10 That's a misconception in the public in general that

somehow the state will wind up holding some liability here
with these operations going into forfeiture.

13 The only way that that could occur and the only 14 possibility, as most of the companies are now surety 15 bonded, is the surety would have to go bankrupt, along with the coal operator, and the reinsurance requirement or the 16 17 reinsurance company would also have to go bankrupt in order 18 for the state to be held with -- or left with a liability here. So that discussion and that outlay of information 19 20 that's been in the public is really highly inaccurate. 21 BOARD MEMBER HINES: Mr. Chairman, again, 22 my question was after the bond is released and --MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, after the 23 24 bond is released, they will have met the requirements of 25 the backfill. That's what this says here is it has to hold

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 to the reclamation plan. It has to be graded to meet the 2 adjacent area or blend with. It has to have vegetative 3 cover and the pit has to be filled before that release can 4 occur.

5 BOARD MEMBER HINES: Mr. Chairman, is there 6 any time limit on that? Or like I say before, the company 7 is out of business, then does that go back to the bonding 8 company?

9 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, I'm not sure 10 I understand the question, but my answer would be if the 11 company goes into forfeiture, the state will take on the 12 contract, seize the bond and issue the contract to backfill 13 and complete all of the reclamation whether there's blade 14 disposal in the pit or not.

So I guess I'm not really maybe understanding the question here, because regardless, it would be covered under the forfeiture of the bond. So if the bond -- if they've completed the reclamation and the bond release has occurred, there's no reason to have bond moving forward. BOARD MEMBER HINES: Thank you.

21 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Are we set? Okay. I'm 22 not hearing anything. Any comments, suggestions, questions 23 from the public?

24 MR. GUILLE: Mr. Chairman, I'll just remind 25 the public if they'd like to speak, go ahead and raise your

hand. It does look like -- I'm not sure. It looked like 1 2 one had raised their hand and then he took it away. But once again, if you have anything to say, please raise your 3 4 hand with the system. 5 Okay, the first one, Mr. Chairman, is Travis Deti. 6 7 Travis, I un-muted your mike. 8 MR. DETI: Thank you, Craig (sic). Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, Travis Deti 9 10 with the Wyoming Mining Association, and while we represent 11 the state's coal companies that would have the opportunity to take advantage of this, if they so chose, I would just 12 like to thank Administrator Wendtland and their folks for 13 their work. 14 All of our comments on the previous draft have 15 been addressed and have been addressed successfully and we 16 fully support and would ask for the Board to move forward 17 18 on this rules package. This is a good idea. This is a chance for some of our operators to take advantage of a 19 20 situation that we've got nationwide with wind turbine waste to maybe generate a little revenue for themselves, to 21 22 generate some revenue for the state. It's a good idea. 23 And again, no one is forcing anybody to do anything in this situation, but we are fully supportive of 24 the rule package and of the statute and we would ask for 25

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

the Board to move this forward. 1 2 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Thank you. Thank you 3 very much. 4 Any other comments, questions, suggestions? Then we're looking for a motion to approve Coal Chapter 2, Wind 5 Turbine Rules. 6 7 BOARD MEMBER JONES: Mr. Chairman, Blake 8 Jones. Move to approve. CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Thank you, Blake. 9 10 Do we have a second? 11 BOARD MEMBER LEGERSKI: I'll second. MR. WENDTLAND: I think that was Board 12 13 Member Legerski seconded. 14 BOARD MEMBER LEGERSKI: That's correct. MR. WENDTLAND: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: So was that a second? 16 17 BOARD MEMBER LEGERSKI: That is correct, 18 Mr. Chairman. 19 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: So all those in favor, please signify by saying aye. 20 21 (Board members stated aye.) CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Any opposed? Motion 22 23 carries. We are now up to Noncoal Chapter 10, Limited 24 25 Mining Operations. Kyle, do you have an overview for us?

1 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, I do, and I 2 apologize for jumping in. Our recorder was needing to 3 verify the name on that second. So I apologize for jumping 4 in on you there, Mr. Chairman. We were just having a 5 little difficulty hearing and wanted to make sure we got 6 the right name there.

7 With Chapter 10, we're being -- we're bringing 8 this back to the Board, actually. We went through, the Board had approved this. We went to the AG review and that 9 was when we were between AGs. So we had a little delay in 10 11 trying to get this review. And the AG came back with a couple of comments, and then -- and Craig will walk through 12 13 those. And the biggest thing is really that the six-mile radius requirement is being reinserted back into the 14 15 regulations.

16 We did receive one new comment -- again, from 17 Mr. Strid, and his comments were regarding the five-year 18 renewal process.

In response to that, I would just say the LQD offers that the proposed revisions are not intended to necessarily terminate an LMO at the close of five years. If an operator has been complying with the annual reporting and other regulatory requirements, all that's required of the operator is that he check the box to continue the LMO on the form, really. It does put a five-year window out

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

there and if those requirements aren't being met, the site hasn't been developed, it does allow Land Quality to move to a termination of that site for that particular LMO document.

5 So really, it comes down to I think there might be a little bit of a misunderstanding by Mr. Strid on how 6 7 this is applied. And we did have some metrics on that, but 8 the Attorney General's review also struck those metrics as part of their review. And with that, Mr. Chairman, that 9 10 kind of concludes my overarch on this review and I would 11 turn it over to Mr. Hults for the specifics. MR. HULTS: Mr. Chairman and Board Member 12 Hines, I just wanted to point out you're un-muted. 13 Mr. Chairman. 14 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Did Board Member Hines 15 hear that he was un-muted? 16 BOARD MEMBER HINES: No, I didn't. I have 17 18 a semi load of hay sitting out my door being unloaded and I was checking on it. So I missed the last couple of 19 20 minutes.

21 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: That's okay. But you
22 were un-muted and we could hear you.

23 BOARD MEMBER HINES: Oh, okay. So you know
24 what's going on here.

25 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: All right. Can we go

1 forward? Mr. Hults.

2 MR. HULTS: Mr. Chairman, board members, 3 sure. This package, like Administrator Wendtland pointed 4 out, this will actually be the third time that you've seen 5 these rules. They were developed again as part of a 6 legislative change that was made. This one was made in the 7 2019 legislative session.

8 And I'll go through this pretty quick, because we've had these rules vetted and approved by the Board to 9 10 go to the EQC for formal rule making. However, we were --11 as Mr. Wendtland said, we were struggling with that six-mile radius being pulled out of the rules and that from 12 13 the version that you saw last, that really is the only change we made to this version, but I'll still walk you 14 15 through it, and I'll be probably pretty quick.

16 So in Chapter 10 -- again, this is on page 1. 17 Again, we made a revision to conform with the Secretary of 18 State's rules on rules and just removed the section header 19 that we had there.

In Section 1, we made an addition to make it consistent with statutory language and that addition was that the notification would be submitted to the inspector of mines within the Department of Workforce Services. We removed Romanette (vii), which required in rule a sworn statement that all information in the notification was

1 true. That was deleted due to a lack of statutory 2 authority.

3 Moving on to Section 5, this deals with 4 reclamation. Here, what was done is prior to this 5 revision, we had what was called abandonment language in here. And so when an operation ceased or within 30 days 6 7 after the abandonment of the mining operation, we struck 8 the language about the 30 days after abandonment. And this is due to now we have that five-year window. And the 9 subsection, or Romanette (i), that section was deleted in 10 11 addition to the description of what would be considered abandoning an operation. So this language became 12 13 unnecessary due to the statutory changes.

14 In Section 6, there was new language added and 15 that replaced the requirement to begin reclamation within 16 30 days of abandonment with the five-year window that we've 17 been talking about for the renewal term.

And then in section what was 6, but is now 7, we just made some section revisions. The numbering had to be changed based on our addition of Section 6. The same with Section 7 on the bottom of page 2.

And then finally, in Section 9, we just reordered or renumbered the section. And subsection (ii), this is where the six-mile limitation was that we had removed and it's now indicated as un-struck language or not deleted

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

language. So that was the only change was to section (a), 1 Romanette (ii), since you saw this last, but that takes me 2 3 to the end of the Chapter 10 revisions. 4 MR. WENDTLAND: And, Mr. Chairman, with 5 that, we'd open it back up to you. 6 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Okay. Any questions, 7 comments, suggestions from the Board? 8 How about from the public? Do we have anybody out there that has any suggestions, comments, questions? I 9 10 don't have a little screen to see people waving their 11 hands. MR. GUILLE: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, this is 12 Keith, and it doesn't look right now that anybody has 13 raised their hand. 14 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Okeydoke. Then we 15 would entertain a motion. 16 BOARD MEMBER HINES: Mr. Chairman, I would 17 18 move that section on Chapter 10. 19 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: We have a motion. Do 20 we have a second? 21 BOARD MEMBER MACKER: Second. CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Thank you. All those 22 in favor, please signify by saying aye. 23 24 (Board members stated aye.) 25 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Any opposed, same

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

1 signal.

2	The motion passes. That brings down to a final
3	advisory board meeting for 2020, and I think that Kyle has
4	some indications of what we'll be talking about, so I'm
5	going to turn it over to Kyle.
6	MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, where we are
7	at is for the year is with the additional meetings we've
8	had, we would like to just have a short conference call
9	meeting in early December. And the reason is we have a
10	couple new board members, Board Member Legerski and Board
11	Member Jones, and some reappointments, yourself,
12	Mr. Chairman, as well, and so we have some just basic
13	business items relating to oaths and paperwork and those
14	things that we want to make sure we've gotten done and
15	completed.
16	The Board will also need to elect its chairman
17	for 2021. And we also need to set a schedule for 2021.
18	And we can let you know at that meeting, too, what the rule
19	package is and what that looks like and we can schedule
20	around that for the next coming calendar year.
21	So our recommendation to the Board is rather than
22	today, because of the I know Board Member Jones was very
23	recently appointed, we kind of let the dust settle on a
24	couple of these things, and then do a short conference call
25	for a short meeting in December.

CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Sounds like a plan. I 1 2 am open. Anybody want to indicate days or periods of time which would be a problem for them? 3 4 BOARD MEMBER MACKER: This is Natalia. The first week that starts with December 1st I would not be 5 available. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Thank you, Natalia. 8 BOARD MEMBER MACKER: A Wednesday or a Thursday the following two weeks I would be. 9 10 BOARD MEMBER LEGERSKI: Mr. Chairman, this 11 is Gene Legerski. As long as you stay away from the first or the third Tuesday, I'm good. 12 13 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Thank you, 14 Mr. Legerski. Anyone else? 15 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, with that, unless other folks want to weigh in here from the Board, we 16 would recommend Thursday, the 10th. And again, I would 17 18 recommend this just be a conference call. We can provide a bridge line. It should be a fairly short meeting. 19 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Sounds like a plan. 20 21 BOARD MEMBER MACKER: Thank you, put it on 22 the calendar. 23 MR. WENDTLAND: So we'll go for 10:00 on the 10th of December, Mr. Chairman. 24 25 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Sounds good to me,

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

yeah. I want to thank everybody for donating their time
 and attending these meetings.

3 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, I would add 4 to that that we've had a particularly busy calendar this 5 year for a variety of reasons and we are very appreciative of the Board's time. The last comment I have is again, I 6 7 would like to express the Department's and the Division's 8 appreciation for the volunteer time here and the time that was committed to the Board for several years by former 9 Board Member Dinsmore. And we recognize that he's now 10 11 fully retired and I talked to him the other day and he said 12 that he wasn't sure what day and time that was going on, so 13 I was kind of envious. But anyway, I do want to make sure that we, as the Division, recognize the time and service of 14 15 Board Member Dinsmore. CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: We should invite him 16 back just to hear his voice. Any other items for 17 18 discussion before we close the meeting? MR. HULTS: Mr. Chairman, nothing on our 19 20 end from LQD. 21 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Go ahead. 22 MR. HULTS: Oh, I said we -- this is Craig. Mr. Chairman, we don't have any other items for 23 24 discussion today. 25 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Oh, okay. Well, I

> Wyoming Reporting Service, Inc. 1.800.444.2826

guess we can close the meeting. Do we -- I can't even 1 remember. Do we need to have a motion to close the 2 3 meeting? 4 MR. WENDTLAND: Mr. Chairman, yes, you do. CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Well, we need a motion, 5 6 then. 7 BOARD MEMBER HINES: Mr. Chairman, let me 8 move that we close the meeting. 9 BOARD MEMBER MACKER: Second. CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: It's been moved and 10 11 seconded. All those in favor please indicate by saying 12 aye. 13 (All board members stated aye.) 14 CHAIRMAN GAMPETRO: Any opposed, same signal. Seeing none, the meeting is closed. Thank you all 15 very, very much. 16 17 MR. HULTS: Thank you. 18 (Meeting proceedings concluded 19 11:48 a.m., October 7, 2020.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, ERIC D. NORDBERG, a Registered Professional
4	Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported by machine
5	shorthand the foregoing proceedings contained herein,
6	constituting a full, true and correct transcript.
7	Dated this 19th day of October, 2020.
8	NDTCA
9	
10	Enc another Strange
11	Registered Professional Reporter
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	