

AQD Meeting

1

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

WYOMING AIR QUALITY ADVISORY BOARD

TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING PROCEEDINGS

Pursuant to notice duly given to all parties in interest, this matter came on for meeting on the 15th day of April, 2019, at the hour of 1:06 p.m., at the Governor's Mansion, Carriage House, 300 East 21st Street, Cheyenne, Wyoming before the Wyoming Air Quality Advisory Board, Timothy Brown, Chairman, presiding, with Diana Hulme, present, and Douglas Vickrey in attendance by telephone.

Ms. Nancy Vehr, Air Quality Administrator, Ms. Amber Potts, Mr. Mike Morris, Mr. Darion Donnelly, and Mr. Rob Leteff of the Air Quality Division, and Ms. Casey Kurnath, Assistant Attorney General, were also in attendance.

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (Meeting proceedings commenced

3 1:06 p.m., April 15, 2019.)

4 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I'll call this meeting to  
5 order right now.

6 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Thank you.

7 CHAIRMAN BROWN: It's you, me and Diana.  
8 This is Tim.

9 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Yes, I assumed that.  
10 So it's just the three of us? We get this all to  
11 ourselves?

12 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Yes, sir.

13 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Wow.

14 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Air Quality Advisory Board  
15 is meeting April 15, 1 p.m., in the Carriage House,  
16 Governor's Mansion, Cheyenne, Wyoming. And Air Quality  
17 Division is requesting the Board's consideration on  
18 proposed changes to the Wyoming Air Quality Standards and  
19 Regulations, Chapter 5, Section 3.

20 So on the agenda we're going to ask for approval  
21 of the last meeting minutes first. And then we're going to  
22 go to rulemaking so we can take care of that in case you  
23 have to leave.

24 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Okay. Appreciate  
25 that.

1                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah. So first on  
2 the -- did you want to do this and then introductions.

3                   MS. VEHR: If you wanted to do approval of  
4 minutes, that would be great. Then when we get to new  
5 business, I can do introductions, yes.

6                   MR. BROWN: All right. So we need a motion  
7 to approve the minutes from the last meeting.

8                   BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: I can move that.

9                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Or any amendment, changes  
10 or admissions.

11                  BOARD MEMBER HULME: Yeah, I want to make a  
12 note I have no issue with the content of the minutes, but  
13 there were no page numbers on them and no date as to what  
14 meeting they were from. So if I dropped them on the floor  
15 and they got shuffled around, I wouldn't know what order  
16 they were supposed to go in. I know that's not a normal  
17 thing. Usually they are numbered and all of that, but this  
18 particular set didn't have any of that. But other than  
19 that --

20                  MR. DONNELLY: My mistake.

21                  BOARD MEMBER HULME: -- no issues, so...

22                  BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Well, then I guess I  
23 should change that motion to -- I so move with the -- with  
24 the agenda changes -- with the minute changes.

25                  BOARD MEMBER HULME: Second.

1                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. It's been moved and  
2 seconded to approve the minutes with the changes suggested.  
3 All those in favor.

4                   BOARD MEMBER HULME: Aye.

5                   BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Aye.

6                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: All those -- aye.

7 All those opposed.

8 The minutes have been approved with the change --  
9 changes proposed.

10 Okay. We're going to go to rulemaking now, Doug.

11                   BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Okay.

12                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Proposed changes to  
13 Chapter 5, the National Emissions Standards, that's Section  
14 3, MESHAPS for hazardous air pollutants -- or NESHAPS, I  
15 guess.

16                   MS. VEHR: This is Nancy from Air Quality  
17 Division. And with me today are members of Team Rules.  
18 And I'll introduce -- we'll go around and introduce Team  
19 Rules, starting with Amber.

20                   MS. POTTS: I'm Amber Potts with Team  
21 Rules.

22                   MR. MORRIS: Yeah, Mike Morris is here with  
23 Team Rules.

24                   MR. LETEFF: And Rob Leteff here with Team  
25 Rules.

1 MR. DONNELLY: And Darion Donnelly with  
2 Team Rules.

3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Is that a new name for the  
4 team, or has it always been Team Rules?

5 MS. VEHR: It's a team name.

6 MS. POTTS: Yeah.

7 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Oh, okay.

8 MS. POTTS: It's the SIP and rulemaking  
9 development section.

10 MR. LETEFF: Sounds official.

11 MS. VEHR: Yeah, it's got a camaraderie  
12 sound. Team Rules.

13 And then also with us today we have new-to-the  
14 Attorney General's Office, representing the Air Quality  
15 Division -- yay -- Casey Kurnath.

16 And, Casey, if you want to just give a brief  
17 introduction, that would be great.

18 MS. KURNATH: Yeah. This is Casey, and I  
19 started at the AG's in September, and then started  
20 representing Air Quality in December. Yeah, that's me.

21 MS. VEHR: And with that, we'll go ahead  
22 and turn over the proposed changes on Chapter 5, Section 3,  
23 to Amber and her team.

24 MS. POTTS: Okay. I wanted to bring this  
25 Chapter 5, Section 3 to the Board for proposed changes.

1 The only thing that we're anticipating changing is on page  
2 5-40. We have a -- the 40 CFR Part 63, subpart XXXXXX, so  
3 six Xs. This regulation has been on the books for federal  
4 folks for a long time, since 2011. But we've just  
5 currently been asked by a facility in Casper that does some  
6 metal fabrication. They're really cranking up on the work,  
7 which is good for our Wyoming economy. And they're bumping  
8 up to the requirements that pushed them up into this  
9 NESHAPs, or the National Emissions Standard for Hazardous  
10 Air Pollutants. And they'd really like to deal with  
11 Wyoming having primacy rather than the federal EPA  
12 government, just for simplicity sake and to deal with our  
13 field inspectors, direct engineers.

14 So I can go through the XXXXXX subpart for you  
15 really quick. It reduces air pollution from places that  
16 are using cadmium, chromium, lead, magnesium and nickel  
17 from nine different metal fabrication or finish sources.

18 So I believe this is a tank building, so that's  
19 the fabrication part of this. And so really we're just  
20 totally incorporating by reference. We're going to add the  
21 XXXXXX to the NESHAPs that we take primacy for. And I can  
22 kind of walk you through this process. So once you would  
23 recommend these changes, we take them, of course, before  
24 the EQC, the governor. You know, if he chooses to sign  
25 off, everything goes smooth. As soon as they're state

1 effective with the governor's signature, NESHAPs Wyoming is  
2 just able to submit a letter to EPA, and then we have -- as  
3 soon as that letter goes out to -- from our office, Region  
4 8 gets it, we have the primacy at that point in time. So  
5 it's a really quick and streamlined process there.

6 And I did want to just -- rather than recommend  
7 the Air Quality Board would recommend, we would like to  
8 consult with you, and you can advise us on this path  
9 forward. And then I don't -- you know, if there's any  
10 other questions about what the XXXXXX comprises of, I can  
11 certainly take a shot for you, but that is really on page  
12 5-40, the -- the entirety of our changes this time.

13 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: So, Amber, this is  
14 Doug Vickrey.

15 MS. POTTS: Yeah.

16 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: So the federal folks  
17 are willing to give anything up? Is that what -- is that  
18 what I'm hearing?

19 MS. POTTS: Well, as long as -- you know,  
20 the federal folks are very happy with how the federal  
21 regulation is written and what comes out of that federal  
22 regulation, what needs to be submitted, how it's submitted  
23 and the requirements under that regulation. If the State  
24 of Wyoming, because of our primacy, we can take that over  
25 from them, as long as we're not changing anything. You

1 know, and we wouldn't be. It's just easier, I think, for  
2 facilities in Wyoming to deal with the State of Wyoming  
3 folks. You know, the people that they see once a year or  
4 whenever they need help.

5 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Right. No, I --

6 MS. POTTS: Yeah.

7 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: No, I understand  
8 that. It just boggles my mind the federal people would  
9 give anything up. So, anyway, go ahead. I'm sorry.

10 MS. POTTS: Yeah. So we have the ability  
11 to take over the implementation of that regulation for  
12 them.

13 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Do you see any downside  
14 for us --

15 MS. POTTS: No.

16 CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- State of Wyoming doing  
17 this?

18 MS. POTTS: No. And, in fact, I believe  
19 this one was -- this specific NESHAP was wrapped into our  
20 regs a long time ago. And then in -- when we did a  
21 streamlining kind of rules reduction, we went through every  
22 facility within the state, and there was nothing that --  
23 there wasn't a need for this regulation.

24 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

25 MS. POTTS: So because that facility has



1 ramped up efforts, now they're falling into that -- this  
2 one, and so it's better for us to have the primacy on it.

3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

4 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Is that facility a  
5 major source?

6 MS. POTTS: I don't believe they are.

7 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Okay.

8 MS. POTTS: You know, it may. If they're  
9 tripping over into the NESHAPs, because HAPs is a little  
10 bit different for Title V.

11 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Yeah. I didn't know  
12 if they were major for something else for another --

13 MS. POTTS: Right. Yeah. I'm not sure  
14 about their emissions in that facility.

15 BOARD MEMBER HULME: I was just curious.

16 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. So this is probably  
17 the only facility that would fall under this right now.

18 MS. POTTER: Yep. Within the state, yeah.

19 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Within the state of  
20 Wyoming.

21 MS. POTTS: Uh-huh.

22 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I agree. It would be  
23 better for a Wyoming company to be under the auspices of  
24 the State of Wyoming versus federal jurisdiction.

25 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Yes.

1                   Should I make a motion?

2                   MS. VEHR:    Just --

3                   BOARD MEMBER HULME:   Any more conversation?

4                   MS. VEHR:    When Amber had pointed out the  
5 words -- I know we followed a little bit different order  
6 here -- the -- and I'll get to this legislative update, but  
7 one of the changes that the legislature made was to Wyoming  
8 Statute 35-11-114(b), and it was for the role of the  
9 advisory boards.

10                  BOARD MEMBER HULME:   Yeah.

11                  MS. VEHR:    So the old language was the  
12 advisory board shall recommend to the Council through the  
13 administrator and director, you know, the adoption of  
14 rules.  The new language is the advisory board shall  
15 consult with and advise the administrator and director on  
16 the adoption of rules.  And what that does is aligns it  
17 with the other changes that went through in terms of EQC so  
18 that it recognizes the formal rulemaking process starts  
19 with the -- at the EQC level for public notice.  All of  
20 this information -- there's no change in what we're still  
21 going to still compile and send up through to the EQC.  So  
22 they'll see all the deliberations, if we got in the  
23 comments, all of that advice and consult.  So it doesn't  
24 change that information going.  It's just in terms of the  
25 language "consult with and advise," so...

1                   BOARD MEMBER HULME: But does that mean --  
2 to me, that means we don't need a quorum and we don't need  
3 to vote.

4                   MS. VEHR: For the board meeting, the Board  
5 needs a quorum to take action and to provide -- if you  
6 don't -- like in terms of you don't need to take a vote,  
7 but we'll capture that information. If the Board -- it's  
8 the Board's pleasure. If you want to take a vote and have  
9 that be a part of it, but it still -- it's part of that --  
10 it's not a recommendation.

11                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: So we don't necessarily  
12 have to vote on this?

13                   MS. VEHR: No. That's why I wanted to let  
14 you know that all the information will go. There may be  
15 some times when the Board wants to have a certain I'll call  
16 it action reflected, and then that's up to the Board in  
17 terms of how you want to proceed. If it's this  
18 information, we've got the court reporter that takes all  
19 the information, anything we get from the public, and we'll  
20 package all that and go forward.

21                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Oh, okay.

22                   MS. VEHR: But that's why I wanted to point  
23 that out.

24                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: So basically you're  
25 advising us, and we're consulting for the next phase where

1 you move this before the EQC.

2 MS. VEHR: Yep.

3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Oh.

4 MS. VEHR: Is that --

5 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah.

6 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Okay, so Nancy --

7 Doug Vickrey again.

8 MS. VEHR: Yep.

9 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: So does this change  
10 the role of the term "advisory," then, in your mind or not?

11 MS. VEHR: No. If we -- if this Board  
12 gives us advice, we listen to that advice. And that  
13 doesn't mean -- it's just like any other advice we receive,  
14 that doesn't mean we necessarily follow through on each of  
15 the points raised.

16 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Okay.

17 MS. VEHR: But we consider that in the  
18 whole. It's just to recognize with rulemaking, the E --  
19 most state agencies, they do their own rulemaking. So like  
20 Department of Health does its own rulemaking. It doesn't  
21 go to somebody else. But with Department of Environmental  
22 Quality, the formal rulemaking body that adopts is the  
23 Environmental Quality Council. And so this is -- if this  
24 Board tells us, hey, we think you guys need to rework this  
25 rule, or you forgot something -- just like on the comments

1 you were just making on this particular rule about having a  
2 rule that has someone in industry and not having to deal  
3 with EPA down in Denver, they can deal with somebody in the  
4 state. That's important information to us. Because you --  
5 you all represent different perspectives. And so that --  
6 we want to have as full information as possible going  
7 forward. So it's just before it was -- by taking votes, it  
8 was not quite clear on exactly what this language in terms  
9 of recommendation --

10 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. So we won't vote on  
11 rulemaking. We just vote on like approval of minutes and  
12 adjourning the meeting basically.

13 MS. VEHR: Yeah. If the Board decided,  
14 hey, you want to vote --

15 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah.

16 MS. VEHR: -- that's your prerogative on  
17 how you want to handle things. It doesn't change the  
18 process. We're still going to take that advice and move it  
19 forward.

20 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Right. So even if we, for  
21 some reason, disagree, it would be in the record.

22 MS. VEHR: It would be in the record.

23 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

24 MS. VEHR: And that may be important to  
25 some people, and --

1 BOARD MEMBER HULME: All right.

2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah. All right.

3 MS. VEHR: There's no dissent that I hear,  
4 so...

5 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I have no dissent. So I  
6 believe, speaking for the Board, we advise that you proceed  
7 with this rulemaking with EQC.

8 MS. VEHR: Thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I'm not going to call for  
10 a vote.

11 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Yeah. I won't either.

12 MS. VEHR: We appreciate your input in  
13 that.

14 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Absolutely.

15 MS. POTTS: Thank you.

16 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I guess we're on to new  
17 business.

18 MS. VEHR: Okay.

19 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. So, yeah, I guess  
20 we --

21 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Yeah, that's it.

22 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I guess we didn't  
23 necessarily need a quorum. I see what you're saying.

24 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Right. We didn't need  
25 a quorum.

1 MS. VEHR: For the board meeting itself you  
2 do need a quorum to do business in front of the Board,  
3 otherwise we can't conduct business.

4 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

5 MS. VEHR: So it's one of those having the  
6 rule and getting that advice, if there's not a quorum for  
7 it, then there wasn't a meeting.

8 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Gotcha.

9 MS. VEHR: It's I'll call it one of those  
10 nuance --

11 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Uh-huh.

12 MS. VEHR: So appreciate having a quorum  
13 for the rulemaking.

14 For new business, I've just got some general  
15 updates, and I didn't -- don't know if anybody else has  
16 anything else they -- they want to bring up, but I'll go  
17 through the updates, and if it does -- this is a dialogue.  
18 I didn't do a PowerPoint presentation or anything.

19 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

20 MS. VEHR: The legislature, just wanted to  
21 give the -- there were two laws that affected the  
22 Environmental Quality Council directly. And one was House  
23 Bill 32. And it's codified in Chapter 71 of the session  
24 laws. It went into effect on February 19th, and it amended  
25 EQC staffing procedures, duties, and then provided this

1 clarification here for advisory boards. So it's not  
2 specific to one board. It's all of those boards.

3           There was another -- House Bill 111, and that is  
4 codified in session laws Chapter 133. And it also became  
5 effective immediately on February 27, 2019. And it amended  
6 the EQC voting procedures from a majority on the council to  
7 clarify that four members are a quorum and matters must be  
8 decided by a majority vote of those members participating  
9 in the matter. So those were the two items of legislation  
10 that I would say impacted this particular board.

11           There were other legislative items that affected  
12 certain divisions within the Department of Environmental  
13 Quality, and then some other legislative items that  
14 affected state agencies in general. One of those would be  
15 dealing with public records and requests.

16           On the second item I wanted to do was -- on our  
17 programs, go through and introduce Casey from the AG's  
18 Office. We already took care of that.

19           Team Rules, just to let you know what we're  
20 working on right now and kind of what we see occupying our  
21 time until the next board meeting. So on Team Rules, very,  
22 very busy with regional haze. And I'm going to just tee it  
23 up, since they're here, they can fill you in on some of the  
24 details.

25           But it sounds not like a lot of work when you



1 just say they're working on regional haze and you don't  
2 hear the details. When you hear the details on how much  
3 time this is consuming, it kind of puts the meat on those  
4 bones.

5 Also had a number of comments this year on I  
6 think right around the time of the last board meeting was  
7 what's called ACE rule. It's the Clean Power Plan I'll  
8 call it replacement rule, but they call it ACE, is the  
9 acronym. We had 111(b), which is also the New Source  
10 Performance Standard for new sources, power plants.

11 Comments on exceptional events, the  
12 infrastructure, state implementation plans, and we've had a  
13 couple of updates on those that the team will give. And  
14 then the Mercury and Air Toxic Standards.

15 So with -- they're also working on Air Quality  
16 Awareness Week activities. So with that, I'll turn it over  
17 to Team Rules. If you want to touch base on --

18 MS. POTTS: Sure.

19 MS. VEHR: -- regional haze and some of the  
20 other rules.

21 MS. POTTS: So for regional haze, this is a  
22 national program that they're -- the driver is visibility  
23 in Class I areas throughout the nation. So when folks go  
24 and visit the Grand Canyon, they can see for a good long  
25 distance, or when they go to see Yellowstone, they can see

1 it. So there are two pieces that we're dealing with in  
2 regional haze. The first round, which we all thought we  
3 were done with, you know, just had some little things to  
4 tie up, is coming back up, because some of those facilities  
5 in the first round are changing how they do business, and  
6 it's just the changing economy and how they're trying to  
7 stay up to date with things and keep, you know, working in  
8 Wyoming here.

9           So we have been touching base in round 1. This  
10 will be the next -- well, we'll have a couple of meetings  
11 in July for that reason, updating that round 1 Regional  
12 Haze State Implementation Plan or the SIP.

13           Then we have to look forward for the regional  
14 haze round 2. This is continued visibility -- well, not --  
15 visibility betterment, I guess. You know, we want to keep  
16 driving down to as clean as we possibly can. So there's a  
17 slope that started way back in round 1, and we have to make  
18 continual progress to natural conditions. That is supposed  
19 to end at 2064. So we've got many of these rounds going to  
20 be happening. They're 10-year rounds. So, you know, my  
21 team and I, we may get two rounds. And then the next Team  
22 Rules may get three and four. So we need to be looking  
23 forward, getting some visibility emission reductions, so  
24 we're working towards that.

25           I wanted to also update the infrastructure SIP.

1 This is for the 2008 ozone infrastructure SIP. So a little  
2 bit of history. We submitted that SIP in 2014 to EPA. And  
3 they liked pieces of it, and they didn't like other pieces  
4 of it. The portion that they did not like -- and they did  
5 not approve was a portion that Wyoming as a state, within  
6 the borders of Wyoming, we impacted our neighbors. They  
7 call it the good neighbor or the transport piece.

8           There was a monitor in south Denver that they --  
9 they had -- Wyoming sources were impacting that at a larger  
10 amount than we, you know, was the limit. The threshold  
11 there. So we went back to the drawing board and really  
12 took a look at everything that -- you know, all the good  
13 work, all the emission reductions that have actually  
14 happened within the state of Wyoming since we submitted  
15 that in 2014. And good news. A couple weeks ago -- or was  
16 it last week?

17           MR. LETEFF: Last week.

18           MS. POTTS: We got approval for that 2008  
19 infrastructure SIP completely. So that's really good news,  
20 a lot of work was put into that. And then we have the  
21 infrastructure SIP for the 2015 ozone standard that's  
22 currently sitting with EPA as well. So we did apply all  
23 the lessons learned from the 2008 disapproval to the 2015,  
24 you know, hopeful approval.

25           BOARD MEMBER HULME: So, Amber, that was --

1 that -- EPA's concern was for ozone --

2 MS. POTTER: Uh-huh.

3 BOARD MEMBER HULME: -- intrusion from  
4 Wyoming to Colorado?

5 MS. POTTS: Uh-huh.

6 BOARD MEMBER HULME: By what source?

7 MS. POTTS: Well, they take it as a state.

8 So everything within the state of Wyoming --

9 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Uh-huh.

10 MS. POTTS: -- came down and impacted.

11 BOARD MEMBER HULME: In Denver or  
12 something?

13 MS. POTTS: Yeah.

14 BOARD MEMBER HULME: How could you tell? I  
15 mean, they --

16 MS. POTTER: That was the tricky part,  
17 right? We really had to --

18 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Even when the prevailing  
19 weather goes from --

20 BOARD MEMBER HULME: I mean, what major  
21 ozone --

22 CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- southwest to --

23 BOARD MEMBER HULME: -- sources do we have?

24 THE REPORTER: One at a time.

25 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, we're both

1 complaining.

2 MS. POTTER: Ozone is interesting because  
3 it's a secondary pollutant.

4 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Right.

5 MS. POTTS: It's not just emitted by a  
6 facility.

7 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Right.

8 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Right.

9 MS. POTTS: That's another thing. All this  
10 chemistry that happens from the state line down to Denver  
11 is quite tricky. And they have -- they do have models.  
12 And that was a big --

13 BOARD MEMBER HULME: I mean, I know our  
14 natural background's higher, but enough to really show a  
15 difference?

16 MS. POTTER: It was.

17 CHAIRMAN BROWN: An impact from us, is  
18 that --

19 MS. VEHR: So they had -- on the national  
20 models that Amber was talking about, EPA had come out with  
21 a rule called Cross-State Air Pollution Rule in Casper, or  
22 CSAPR. And they did nationwide modeling. And you start on  
23 one coast and end on one coast and you're looking across  
24 the whole nation. And so it becomes in complex terrain  
25 more challenging for modeling.

1 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Right.

2 MS. VEHR: And one of the -- as they were  
3 developing these models, EPA had represented that they  
4 would be working with western states. This rule only  
5 applies to the eastern half of the U.S. And so that's when  
6 they did these model results, were on a rule that only  
7 applied to the eastern half. But they took the model  
8 results and made some I'll call them scientific conclusions  
9 about sources in the rest of the nation -- or locations in  
10 the rest of the nation. And that was part of the dialogue,  
11 that western states wanted to be -- continue to have EPA  
12 work to improve model performance for unique mountain and  
13 western states areas.

14 BOARD MEMBER HULME: So that was the  
15 remedy, was model improvement? Or are we being asked to  
16 take more mitigating steps in order -- is that what made  
17 them approve this finally, was we're mitigating somehow  
18 more? What --

19 MS. VEHR: So we looked at the -- we were  
20 not going to ask our sources when we felt there was a  
21 challenge with the model. What we did was the team looked  
22 to all of what had been factored into the model to see if  
23 they had accounted for all of the emission reductions, and  
24 came up with additional reductions that had not been  
25 accounted for in the model. And so they reran, did some

1 model work for 2023, I believe it was, and that showed that  
2 we did not have that level of impact at that particular  
3 monitor.

4 BOARD MEMBER HULME: We appeased them  
5 through model improvements. Better inputs into the model,  
6 or at least --

7 MR. LETEFF: I'd say we gave them  
8 additional information from what was in the original SIP  
9 that we had submitted. And they ran their own models that  
10 updated the information. And they did it for the national  
11 modeling with I would say improved inputs.

12 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Right.

13 MR. LETEFF: So we weren't asked to  
14 actually run anything ourselves. They ran their own model  
15 and updated it.

16 CHAIRMAN BROWN: It was just data  
17 refinement, basically.

18 MR. LETEFF: Right. Exactly.

19 MS. POTTS: And some other things. They  
20 call it a weight of evidence approach. So we really gave  
21 them a lot to think about. We did some back trajectories,  
22 forward trajectories. You know, so we gave them a lot of  
23 different pieces that could help them make this decision  
24 that Wyoming was A-OK for the infrastructure SIP.

25 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Got it.

1 MS. VEHR: But that's a really good point.

2 We don't want to ask our sources to do --

3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: No. I agree.

4 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Uh-huh.

5 MS. VEHR: -- something that may not  
6 address the situation that exists or doesn't exist.

7 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah.

8 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Thank you for your  
9 clarification.

10 MS. VEHR: Uh-huh. And Air Quality  
11 Awareness Week, I know, Mike, you're working to kind of get  
12 that with the team.

13 MR. MORRIS: So, yeah, we certainly in the  
14 past have done all sorts of different outreach, educational  
15 enrichment, advocacy campaigns. This year we kind of  
16 decided we wanted to narrow the scope a little bit to  
17 address, you know, wild fires, smoke management, prescribed  
18 fire burning, and sort of the accompanying, you know, air  
19 quality impacts that arise from these, and how the general  
20 public can, you know, be informed. And certainly the steps  
21 they can take if they encounter wildfire smoke, what, you  
22 know, potential health effects are.

23 So we're anticipating trying to line up sort of a  
24 cross-agency campaign potentially with the Department of  
25 Health, probably in the -- it will be in the month of May.



1 We probably won't confine it to a specific week. It might  
2 be once a week we'll have recurring messaging that goes  
3 out. But we do want to try to have sort of a -- what would  
4 you say -- applied approach that informs the general public  
5 about, you know, air quality conditions that we've seen  
6 recur over the last few summers, because unfortunately this  
7 has been something in the western United States that more  
8 and more we're, you know, growing accustomed to dealing  
9 with, and it does impact people's, you know, day-to-day  
10 health.

11 So that's sort of the direction we're planning on  
12 taking things. We just met on it this morning, actually.  
13 So we're sort of putting some ideas into motion, and then I  
14 think it will probably be, you know, generated pretty  
15 quickly. And, yeah, we're hoping to reach folks again  
16 through a couple of different messaging channels and get  
17 the word out.

18 Also, on our prescribed fire, you know, burning  
19 regulations too, because we have had some instances where  
20 folks probably around the state -- you know, we want to  
21 have reminders of what our regulations are for prescribed  
22 fires and ensure that people are taking the right steps  
23 when they're doing, you know, slash piles and things like  
24 that. So...

25 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Do you have any

1 jurisdiction over BLM prescribed burning on federal lands?

2 MS. VEHR: For smoke management? Yep, we  
3 do --

4 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Oh, you do.

5 MS. VEHR: -- with the rules that -- I  
6 think it was about 2000 -- it was the first round of  
7 regional haze, actually, when we adopted smoke management  
8 rules.

9 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Oh, okay.

10 MS. VEHR: In about 2003, 2004, so -- but  
11 that's always a good time to take a look, because things  
12 change.

13 Amber's been engaged through WESTAR with  
14 prescribed burn. That's because it's an issue across the  
15 west.

16 BOARD MEMBER HULME: When is Air Quality  
17 Awareness Week?

18 MR. MORRIS: Well, on a national level it  
19 is the week that coincides -- it starts at the very end of  
20 April and goes into the first part of May. So that's -- I  
21 can't remember what the date range is. Like April 20th to  
22 May 3rd or something like. But, you know, we have tried to  
23 expand it out towards the entire month of May just because  
24 we feel as though it's more conducive of us reaching, you  
25 know, communities and things. You know, given our size of

1 staff and everything, it's kind of tough to fit outreach  
2 activities all into one week sometimes.

3 But, yeah, you know, we figured we'd try to take  
4 a different bit of direction with it this year, and, you  
5 know, we're still trying to evolve some different avenues  
6 of it.

7 MS. VEHR: It's -- one of the days for EPA  
8 is on smoke, because it's on national they developed a  
9 smoke sense app for phones that people can use to try to  
10 collect some more information, so...

11 MR. MORRIS: And, actually, Diana, in the  
12 future too -- I mean, we -- this year we are probably late  
13 in the game, but we do like the notion of getting out to --  
14 whether it's public schools -- but, also, you know, we've  
15 tried to coordinate at the university from time to time,  
16 and it's tough because that tends to be around finals time.

17 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Yeah.

18 MR. MORRIS: But certainly at another time  
19 of the year it would be interesting sometime to have some  
20 sort of outreach capacity about air quality or  
21 environmental quality at the university, whether it's in  
22 the union or something. So, you know, it's an avenue that  
23 probably we'd be interested -- we've done stuff at LCCC,  
24 because it's easier for us, you know, directly here. But,  
25 you know, that's something down the road might be

1 interesting to explore, I think, so...

2 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Maybe a little subtle  
3 recruitment strategy for you guys too. Students looking  
4 for jobs, so --

5 MS. VEHR: Yeah, we could time it for that.

6 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Absolutely. I think  
7 that would be great.

8 MS. VEHR: Well, thank you.

9 So that's kinds of what Team Rules is working on  
10 coming up.

11 On New Source Review and construction permitting,  
12 we've got 12 staff. Right now we've got three vacancies.  
13 And we have one supervisor. A supervisor went from New  
14 Source Review to Title V, operating permit program. So we  
15 kept a person in-house, just a different job. And then we  
16 have two permit writers. I think they concluded interviews  
17 for one of those positions, and I'm not sure when the other  
18 one concludes interviews. Other than that, their workload  
19 is, you know, essentially unchanged from what the last  
20 discussion was. They're -- from a couple years ago, I  
21 think there's a little bit more on larger-scale facilities,  
22 maybe now doing -- looking at some of the capital-type  
23 projects that they wouldn't have looked at previously. But  
24 that's kind of in the infancy stages.

25 The other thing we're looking at in terms of our

1 New Source Review, we put in place -- our presumptive best  
2 available control technology guidance changes went final in  
3 December, and became effective, I think, on the 1st of  
4 February. And so those sources that it now applies to I  
5 think are in the application phase. So that's out there.

6           And then we're -- I'm still looking at some  
7 streamlining with general permitting from the sand and  
8 gravel industry. It expressed an interest. So that --  
9 once we get that process going, then we'll have a rule come  
10 through that authorizes the Air Quality Division to do  
11 general permitting, and then we'll have an actual general  
12 permit that would come through.

13           And then also working on what I call access or  
14 trespass issues so that we can have language in our  
15 permitting applications so that facilities provide that up  
16 front instead of it being -- the burden falling to our  
17 inspectors at the back end to ensure access. That's  
18 basically construction permitting we've got.

19           On Title V, we have eight staff right now. We're  
20 sitting at about 114 facilities. And that will fluctuate  
21 plus or minus 5 or 10, depending on -- more of them are  
22 getting out, which is good, because that means emissions  
23 are down. That will become a challenge for operating  
24 permit programs, because they have to charge fees based on  
25 the tons of emissions. We do a report this year -- so in

1 October -- to the Joint Minerals committee. So we're  
2 starting to take a look at those fees and emissions to see  
3 if there's any changes that would need to be made.

4 We have one vacancy. A permit writer for Title  
5 V. And I think they've concluded interviews for that. So  
6 we'll get that one filled. And then they're just actively  
7 working on their applications and reducing their permit  
8 backlog. Some of the facilities in the permit backlog,  
9 these are renewal applications. And I think the oldest one  
10 is about 12 years old. So it takes a lot more work effort  
11 to address something of that age, but they are plowing  
12 forward and working on those. So we're really proud that  
13 that -- some of the changes I think we brought before the  
14 Board before on some of the streamlining we're starting to  
15 see paid dividends.

16 On compliance and enforcement we have 26 staff.  
17 And we had -- one of the new things that came out was from  
18 our impact team, our online  
19 Inventory Monitoring Permitting and Compliance Tracking.  
20 They launched what's called the inspection tool feature.  
21 So this allows the inspectors, as information gets put into  
22 our impact system, like compliance reports, it's a tool  
23 that gathers up all the information to help on the front  
24 end of doing an inspection, and then on the back end of  
25 writing the report, so that we can turn those inspections

1 around much more quickly, because it's not a manual  
2 separately written report each time.

3           And so we think -- this just got launched, oh, I  
4 want to say it was late December, early January. And I can  
5 tell you from the turnaround time from when the inspectors  
6 are doing the reports to when it actually goes through the  
7 signature process has been reduced by several weeks. And a  
8 lot of it has to do -- when we have district offices  
9 located, they had to mail the reports in, and if there was  
10 a change, it got mailed back, so now it's all online, so  
11 it's more real time.

12           We think that will help with compliance efforts  
13 at facilities, as well as enforcement efforts. And so it  
14 will probably be one of those longer-term items that we can  
15 look back and hopefully see an improvement in some  
16 compliance efforts.

17           The asbestos is also part of our compliance and  
18 enforcement. And they've been working on inspections.  
19 They do about 45 full inspections per year. There's a  
20 staff of two, and they travel all over the state providing  
21 compliance assistance and then working on rule revisions.  
22 And the rule revisions have been in place -- I know Rob's  
23 been part of that teamworking. They did a stakeholder  
24 meeting and had wanted to clarify rules. And they're going  
25 through the -- the Team's turned it over to me now to take

1 a look at. And so we hope to bring that at the -- probably  
2 the third Air Quality Advisory Board meeting this year.

3 On District 5, which is in Lander -- we have two  
4 district offices in Lander, Districts 4 and 5. District 5  
5 in Lander has two folks that are staffed in the Jonah and  
6 Pinedale office in Pinedale. And this year was an active  
7 ozone season during the winter. The two staff that are in  
8 the JIO-PAPO office were busy out in the field making  
9 observations and doing inspections. We've got cameras now.  
10 The FLIR camera, the infrared cameras, and doing lots of  
11 work.

12 We've talked internally at DEQ to see about  
13 getting a third inspector out at that Pinedale office. And  
14 we're looking internally within our current DEQ resources  
15 to see how we can make that occur. And so that's in the  
16 works right now.

17 We've also on -- oh, found out the government  
18 shutdown in January meant that these folks are housed in  
19 the BLM field office, which meant they had no work space.  
20 One gentleman had to go to the library, and another lady  
21 worked out of her house or the car. Internet connections  
22 are challenging when you don't have those. So we'll  
23 probably look at -- see what other types of changes we  
24 might need to assure continued operations there.

25 With those positions, they were funded through



1 the JIO and PAPO through operator funds. And those need to  
2 be now -- that went through 2020, and so we'll be looking  
3 at this upcoming budget session of making those permanent  
4 positions within the DEQ structure and finding the  
5 funding -- long-term funding source for those positions.

6 The other section in compliance and enforcement  
7 is the enforcement team, and that's a staff of two. And we  
8 do notices of violations informal, called letters of  
9 violations. And we're looking at further streamlining  
10 opportunities so that we can have different enforcement and  
11 compliance tools available for different situations. One  
12 of those kind of I'll say streamline opportunities would be  
13 we have some what I call violations that are ones that we  
14 tend to see, a company might not submit a permit  
15 application, and it be a minor source.

16 So one streamlining opportunity that we could  
17 have would be to say here's the violation for, you know,  
18 failure to submit a permit application on time and have a  
19 set amount there. And if the company wants to elect to  
20 settle it quickly, then we can do it that way. If they  
21 want to have more of a negotiation style, then we can do it  
22 that way. The Air Quality Division does not have  
23 administrative what I call penalty authority, so we can't  
24 say, hey, here's an amount. We have to do it through a  
25 negotiated settlement. So we're looking for those kind of

1 opportunities.

2           The other one that's related to compliance is  
3 environmental audits. And so in the end of October, EPA  
4 Region 8 and the State of Wyoming entered a Memorandum of  
5 Understanding related to environmental audits. So Wyoming,  
6 on our statute, we provide for environmental audits.  
7 There's a privilege and -- associated with the documents  
8 that a company builds during an environment audit, and then  
9 there is a penalty waiver, what they call penalty immunity,  
10 for companies that conduct audits.

11           And the purpose of the environmental audits is so  
12 companies take a look at their own compliance. It can be  
13 as narrow or as broad of an audit as the company wants.  
14 They can be one media, like air, or it can be multimedia.  
15 We've seen both kinds. They tend to be single media, but  
16 we have seen multimedia.

17           And they look at the audit. It identifies the  
18 compliance issues, but it also identifies where the  
19 company's doing well in compliance. And then if there is  
20 corrective action, then the company has to implement that  
21 within a certain period of time. So the benefit is that  
22 you get to improved environmental compliance and  
23 performance more quickly than if it was waiting for someone  
24 to come around and inspect your facility or conduct that  
25 particular review.

1           We do a spot follow-up when we do environmental  
2 audits, because there are people out there that are like,  
3 well, how do you know the company's doing what it said it  
4 was going to do? So we do spot audits. So they've done  
5 their self-audit. And then once it's done, that's in the  
6 past. And we'll go out and check. And if there's  
7 noncompliance, then we do take enforcement action. And we  
8 have one pending right now that's in that situation.

9           So we do take it seriously. The companies that  
10 do these also take it seriously. We know there's a cost  
11 and we're seeing dollars being put into the controls to  
12 reduce the emissions as well. So that's a new program.

13           On the federal level, they just announced new  
14 owner oil and gas audit program at the federal level.  
15 That's a little bit different than our program.

16           I got one last program area. Feel free to ask  
17 questions, otherwise -- anybody ask questions, otherwise I  
18 drone on.

19           This would probably be like a lullaby for the  
20 calves, though, Doug, huh?

21                         BOARD MEMBER HULME: He's asleep.

22                         MS. VEHR: Yeah.

23           So Air Quality Resource Management, that has  
24 three sections that deals with our emissions inventory, our  
25 planning, and our monitoring sections. So we have 20 staff

1 in there. Right now we don't have any openings in that  
2 particular program.

3 On the monitoring side, we had a gentleman start,  
4 oh, I think it was about a month ago -- Ray -- to do some  
5 of the monitoring -- ambient monitoring maintenance and  
6 calibration, that type work. And that filled up our  
7 vacancy.

8 But on the monitoring side, we have a continuous  
9 emission monitor, and then ambient monitoring. Ambient  
10 monitoring, we had a lot of use on WyVisNet, which is our  
11 statewide network. I think sometimes people go to look at  
12 snow depth or -- just like they do the WYDOT station. But  
13 we do have a lot of traffic on there.

14 The platform is fairly old. It was I think  
15 started 20 years ago, and it's a custom platform. And so  
16 the expense has gotten very increased because it's built on  
17 this older platform, and it's less versatile for a lot of  
18 the uses. So we anticipate having a different platform at  
19 sometime in the future, which we'll need to make sure we  
20 educate both -- all the users. And we anticipate there  
21 will probably be some users. It's just like anything else,  
22 you get used to seeing things a certain way, and when it  
23 changes, it becomes challenging. But the expense of  
24 maintaining that particular site has -- and the resources  
25 needed has really grown.

1           We have an annual monitoring network plan that  
2 will go out for public comment, I believe, in May sometime.  
3 And that's an annual requirement we have with EPA. We do a  
4 network settlement to see where we need ambient monitoring  
5 and what needs to get continued. A few years ago you might  
6 remember we had a mobile monitor in Laramie to gather some  
7 population-based information. We have a lot of requests  
8 for mobile monitoring in more rural sites, located where  
9 there's some heavy oil and gas development. So we were  
10 able to deploy one of the monitors. We changed the mission  
11 from population-based community to eastern Laramie County  
12 to try to get some information about oil and gas in eastern  
13 Laramie County. So that's going to be released for public  
14 comment, and then we get that to EPA in June.

15           And then we had in the Upper Green River Basin,  
16 we had a very active ozone season this year. We had nine  
17 days where we exceeded the 70 parts per billion standard at  
18 one monitor, which was the Boulder monitor. The rest of  
19 the monitors in the Upper Green were A-OK. I think we had  
20 a day at Daniel at the very end of the season. But none of  
21 the other monitors had eight-hour exceedances. So it was  
22 very, very localized to the Boulder monitor. And we're now  
23 in the process of analyzing all of the information to see  
24 if we can draw some conclusions about what -- why that  
25 occurred at that particular location, because the good news

1 is, for the rest of the Upper Green, the standards were met  
2 on all days, except the one eight-hour reading at Daniel.  
3 All the other readings were fine.

4           And that there's a lot of concern out there.  
5 We're going to do a post winter ozone season open house,  
6 which is our standard that we do after ozone season to get  
7 information out to everybody. But there's a lot of -- been  
8 a lot of press, as well as local concerns. And sometimes I  
9 think it gets lost that, hey, the good news is the whole  
10 rest of the Upper Green did really well. It was a very  
11 concentrated -- we did see elevated readings at the  
12 eight-hour level of 105. And these are pre-validation  
13 data. But there were some elevated significant -- it was a  
14 very strong inversion in place, and so this was at about a  
15 6, I think, of that inversion. So they'll take a look and  
16 see what the analysis shows of additional strategies, if  
17 any, for that area.

18           Then on the planning and records part of the Air  
19 Quality Resource Management, we had Open Air, which we  
20 brought to the Board before on -- this is our public --  
21 free public access to air quality information. And we got  
22 a lot of interest. There is -- if you go to our website,  
23 there's a link to it. There's training information. We  
24 had Powder River Basin Resource Council come in and get  
25 trained. We had individuals want to get trained. We had

1 folks from companies want to -- so that they can see what  
2 people are able to see. And as we get more and more  
3 records that we can know are publicly accessible. They've  
4 already been screened, we can get out there, we'll continue  
5 to expand that.

6           We are in the midst of digitizing a lot of  
7 records, and so those of you that have been up to the  
8 second floor of our building, we had a room that was all  
9 file cabinets, and I want to say it held about 75 file  
10 cabinets. Those 75 file cabinets' records were shipped out  
11 to a company. They're getting scanned right now. And they  
12 will be coming back I think in about another month, less  
13 than a month, for another 75 file cabinets.

14           There's a lot of cost to this. A lot of time. A  
15 lot of prep work from the Division to get these records  
16 ready. But once they're scanned, then they can be uploaded  
17 into our system and made publicly available. And so  
18 there's many, many, more boxes at archives, but this will  
19 get us a good start on that.

20           There has been items in the press about learning  
21 curves that the DEQ is on, and we're in part of that  
22 learning curve. We have encountered people -- when we say,  
23 hey, this information is available to you. You have to go  
24 access it. They get upset because they want us to do the  
25 work. And that's why we make it available so you can look

1 at it wherever you want, whenever you want. So there's  
2 learning curves on both sides, but we think it's a great  
3 thing.

4 On IMPACT, we have something called an  
5 E-Enterprise Air-ICIS grant. And it's about a \$350,000  
6 grant. EPA requires certain facilities on like stack  
7 testing, then the state has to input system -- excuse me,  
8 input information into EPA's Air-ICIS system. It's been a  
9 manual data entry process. And this grant is to have it  
10 all occur electronically when that information is uploaded  
11 into -- by facilities into our impact system, then it can  
12 be migrated over through to the EPA system.

13 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Oh, that's nice.

14 MS. VEHR: Yeah. And we're working on  
15 that. E-Enterprise is a joint project with Environmental  
16 Council of States (ECOS), EPA, and then others. And we  
17 applied for a grant and got this because our IMPACT system  
18 is being used down in Maricopa County and the Gila River  
19 Tribe, in addition to Ohio. So that will be about  
20 two-years project.

21 And then the other thing that the planning and  
22 records team is working on is on NEPA projects. So there  
23 was kind of a lull in NEPA for a while, and then things  
24 started -- as different federal actions have occurred,  
25 that's gotten busier on the NEPA side. So we have a staff



1 member from Air Quality and then we coordinate comments up  
2 through DEQ on that process.

3 My last -- my last hurdle here is on emissions  
4 inventory. We have four staff in emissions inventory. And  
5 so in our major sources, we just are going through the  
6 inventory process -- review process now. Those sources  
7 have provided information from the 2018 year. And then we  
8 take a look at it and then we send out the emission  
9 inventory bills for those. So the -- those major source  
10 inventories are in the works. That's something we do  
11 annually.

12 We had a triennial inventory that's still being,  
13 I'll say, worked on. And then we have a winter ozone  
14 inventory that I don't know the due date for that, but we  
15 should be getting that sometime. So the inventory folks  
16 are very, very busy. They're still -- Ben Way is still  
17 working on the combined air emissions reporting, which,  
18 again, is one of these streamlined -- so that facilities  
19 that report information, it can go into the EPA system and  
20 to states, or if it's states reporting, the facilities can  
21 get it. So it's still a couple-year project that's out  
22 there, but it's making headway. It's just finding out how  
23 many different systems and electronic systems and ways they  
24 communicate with each other is a pretty challenging task.

25 So that's what's keeping us busy. Our goal for

1 the rest of 2019 is to try and hold our own. We've gone  
2 through a lot of changes over the I'll say past couple of  
3 years. And sometimes you need to kind of solidify it, let  
4 those solidify and build those foundations. So we're still  
5 making improvements and looking, but some of that breakneck  
6 pace that we were at, we need to focus on things like  
7 regional haze we know is going to continue to increase and  
8 consume time, and some of these other issues -- pollutant-  
9 specific issues around the state we want to focus efforts  
10 on.

11 So that's the update --

12 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

13 MS. VEHR: -- for the Division.

14 CHAIRMAN BROWN: When are you guys moving  
15 back to the Herschler Building?

16 MS. VEHR: We are actually staying where  
17 we're at. At least for right now. So in the last  
18 legislative session, there was a lease that got entered  
19 into, right at this past legislative session, and so I  
20 think the state's -- one of maybe the interim committees is  
21 looking at leasing and building space. Someone else is  
22 moving into where we were moving into the Herschler. So  
23 for right now, this is where we're at, yeah, until someone  
24 tells us otherwise.

25 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Interesting.

1 MS. VEHR: Yeah.

2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

3 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Thank you.

4 MS. VEHR: Any questions you have? I mean,  
5 we're happy to --

6 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Doug?

7 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Nope. I'm just in  
8 awe of what they've been getting accomplished.

9 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah.

10 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Wow.

11 BOARD MEMBER HULME: Yeah.

12 MS. VEHR: And I apologize for droning on.  
13 It's a lot to -- but when we don't--

14 BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: It's absolutely a  
15 lot. But it shows your tenacity for the position, I guess.

16 MS. VEHR: Got a lot of dedicated -- a lot  
17 of dedicated staff at the agency that are passionate about  
18 air quality, so...

19 BOARD MEMBER HULME: That's good.

20 MS. VEHR: Yeah. And any questions you  
21 have, happy to take those now. Happy to -- any time if  
22 down the road you get a question that pops up, you know how  
23 to get ahold of us. And feel free, because we want to make  
24 sure that -- I know there's a new board member, we would  
25 anticipate at least before the next meeting.

1                   If you have -- I know Amber and the team, we want  
2 to make these meetings productive for you as well. One of  
3 the thoughts -- I know we've done a power plant tour.  
4 We're seeing a lot of oil and gas development. We have  
5 other sources -- I mean, you heard about the one and only  
6 for the state of Wyoming, it was the subject of this  
7 rulemaking. But if there's items that we can, you know,  
8 provide for you, as well as if it's in coordination with  
9 the board meeting, you want to hear from the Attorney  
10 General? Do you want to hear on something national? We're  
11 happy to do that to make these as educational and  
12 informative for you to give your sound advice to us as  
13 well. So if you think of something, just let Amber know.  
14 And we're happy to do that, so...

15                   BOARD MEMBER HULME: Right. Will do.

16 Yeah.

17                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: I have no questions.

18                   BOARD MEMBER HULME: I don't either.

19                   MS. VEHR: Okay.

20                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: So next on the agenda is  
21 schedule the next meeting.

22                   MS. POTTS: And we can have the Doodle  
23 Poll.

24                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah. That works well.

25                   MS. POTTS: Send that back out.

1                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: I like that Doodle Poll.  
2 We're looking at -- this is Q2, isn't it, so...

3                   MS. POTTS: Yeah, we kind of -- with the  
4 ozone season, we got wrapped around that. And the  
5 legislature. So we'll have -- we'll get three more  
6 meetings in this year.

7                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Yeah, that's fine.  
8 I was just curious.

9                   MS. VEHR: I think we had -- just to give  
10 you -- one update I just thought of. So the rules that  
11 went through the last time, incorporation by reference --

12                   BOARD MEMBER HULME: Uh-huh.

13                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Uh-huh.

14                   MS. VEHR: The EQC, they got backlogged as  
15 well. So I think they got bumped to August, was it, when  
16 they said. So probably as they're adopting, you're going  
17 to be --

18                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

19                   MS. VEHR: -- seeing new incorporation by  
20 reference.

21                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

22                   MS. VEHR: But...

23                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Are there any other  
24 questions?

25                   BOARD MEMBER HULME: Huh-uh.

1                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: I guess we move to  
2 adjourn.  
3                   BOARD MEMBER HULME: Move to adjourn.  
4                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Doug?  
5                   BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Do I need to second  
6 it or adjourn it?  
7                   CHAIRMAN BROWN: Second.  
8                   BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: I'll second that,  
9 then. I don't have far to travel today.  
10                  CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah. Okay. All those in  
11 favor?  
12                  BOARD MEMBER HULME: Aye.  
13                  CHAIRMAN BROWN: Aye.  
14                  BOARD MEMBER VICKREY: Aye.  
15                  CHAIRMAN BROWN: All those opposed.  
16 Okay. This meeting's adjourned.  
17                                (Meeting proceedings concluded  
18                                2:07 p.m., April 15, 2019.)  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, KATHY J. KENDRICK, a Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported by machine shorthand the foregoing proceedings contained herein, constituting a full, true and correct transcript.

Dated this 9th day of May, 2019.

  
KATHY J. KENDRICK  
Registered Professional Reporter

