

Joe Girardin <joe.girardin@wyo.gov>

Re: Final Hearing

1 message

Ronald Ericsson <ericsson@childselect.com>

Thu, Oct 11, 2018 at 11:29 AM

To: Jim Ruby <jim.ruby@wyo.gov>, "Samuel R. Yemington" <SRYemington@hollandhart.com>
Cc: "scottaericsson@gmail.com" <scottaericsson@gmail.com>, "rolandericsson@cox.net" <rolandericsson@cox.net>, Julie Anderson

Anderson

Anthony Tavaglione

<tavaglione.meredith@gmail.com>, Leslie Cody <lesliecody@cox.net>, Spencer Ericsson <ericsson1337@yahoo.com>, "gargawill@gmail.com" <gargawill@gmail.com>, "bridget.hill1@wyo.gov"

"kyle.wendtland@wyo.gov" <kyle.wendtland@wyo.gov>, "todd.parfitt@wyo.gov" <todd.parfitt@wyo.gov>, "john.cox@wyo.gov>, "joe.girardin@wyo.gov" <joe.girardin@wyo.gov>

First: On Tuesday, 6 November individuals responsible to protect surface rights of the 2U Ranch LLC will vote in Wyoming, Texas and Nevada. Second: Neither the Office of State Lands nor the DEQ has shown any interest in defending our surface rights as the landowner when a mining company wants to mine with disregard for some of the rights of the landowner. We therefore have had to spend an inordinate amount of time defending our rights and more time is needed. The response from BPM is to want 20 years to control part of the 2U Ranch (ignoring World War II lasted just four years). Third: One of the main requirements to hold a hearing is reclamation has been satisfactorily completed so states DEQ. That statement is wrong and DEQ and BPM know reclamation is incomplete. For more that a year 2U Ranch has made repeated requests to DEQ, Office of State Lands and BPM to inform us how 10,936 trees, wildlife habitat, wetlands and property values will be reclaimed. The response has been <u>zero</u> from all three of them. To have a fair and just hearing both parties require access to pertinent information. We do not have this information as it is not forthcoming and the 2U Ranch is entitled to know how the land will be reclaimed to conditions equal to or greater than prior to being mined. As of now the hearing should not be granted at any date as the permit stating that reclamation is complete is wrong because it is incomplete. If a hearing does take place we will state the hearing in invalid as reclamation as provided is incomplete. The appraised value of the 2U Ranch will be significantly reduced, a 20 year period having to deal with BPM and a ranch property that will forever be destroyed. Not going to happen.

To respond to your request for a change of hearing date our answer is: no, no and no.

Ronald J. Ericsson, PhD Scott A. Ericsson, PhD Roland S. Ericsson, Attorney at Law

From: Jim Ruby <jim.ruby@wyo.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 1:21 PM

To: Samuel R. Yemington; ericsson@childselect.com

Subject: Final Hearing

Dear Council and Parties:

I was asked by the hearing officer to inquire of you whether you would be willing to move the final hearing to November 6th and 7th. The hearing would be in Cheyenne instead of Sundance but if the parties would prefer to hold the hearing earlier that would be possible.

If both parties do not wish to change the date than we will continue to plan on the hearing for January in Sundance.

Sincerely,

Jim Ruby Executive Officer Environmental Quality Council



Powered by cloudHQ Email Tracker

E-Mail to and from me, in connection with the transaction of public business, is subject to the Wyoming Public Records Act and may be disclosed to third parties.