Filed: 6/10/2016 4:56:28 PM WEQC

Andrew J. Kuhlmann, 7-4595
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Wyoming Attorney General's Office
2320 Capitol Avenue
Cheyenne, WY 82002
PH: (307) 777-6946
Fax: (307) 777-3542
andrew.kuhlmann@wyo.gov
Attorney for the State of Wyoming
Department of Environmental Quality

## BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COUNCIL STATE OF WYOMING

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF	)	EOC DOCKET NO. 16 4601
THE RENEWAL BOND AMOUNT FOR	)	<b>EQC DOCKET NO. 16-4601</b>
BENTONITE MINING PERMIT NO. 624	)	

## DEQ'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY OFFERED BY PETITIONER'S WITNESSES

Respondent, the State of Wyoming, Department of Environmental Quality, through its undersigned counsel, and under Wyoming Rule of Civil Procedure 26 and the Department of Environmental Quality Rules of Practice and Procedure, Chapter 2, Sections 3(a) and 14(a), hereby moves for the Council to exclude expert testimony offered by the petitioner or his witnesses because the petitioner did not timely designate any expert witnesses pursuant to the *Order of Schedule* entered in this proceeding.

Following a pre-hearing conference, the Council may enter a pre-hearing order that "will control the [course] of the hearing unless modified by the presiding officer to prevent manifest injustice." Wyo. Dep't of Envtl. Quality, Practice & Procedure, ch. 1, § 9(b). The Council entered the pre-hearing order for this proceeding on March 8, 2016. (See Order of Schedule at 1-2). Among other deadlines, the order required that, "Expert witnesses must also be designated no later than May 13, 2016. The last day for depositions shall be May 20, 2016." (Order of Schedule at 1). On

May 13, 2016, the Department designated Mr. Brian Wood as a potential expert witness. (See DEQ's Designation of Expert Witnesses at 1-2). The petitioner did not designate any expert witness by May 13th.

An expert witness is a witness qualified to provide testimony about "scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge." *See* Wyo. R. Evid. 702. "If scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education, may testify thereto in the form of an opinion or otherwise." Wyo. R. Evid. 702. Providing an opinion expressing scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge constitutes providing an expert opinion.

Under the Wyoming Rules of Civil Procedure, a party must disclose the identity of any expert witness the party intends to call at trial. Wyo. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(A). Depending on the nature of the expert witness being called, the party must include additional information to allow the other party to understand the information and opinions the expert witness is likely to provide, the basis for those opinions, and the qualifications of the expert witness to provide the opinions. See Wyo. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B)-(C). Without that information, the other party would be unable to challenge items such as the witness's qualifications as an expert, the accuracy or reliability of the witnesses' opinions, the basis for the witness's opinions, and the reliability of the information on which the witness bases the opinions.

The Council adopted the requirements of Wyoming Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(2) by adopting an expert designation deadline the *Order of Schedule*. See Wyo. Dep't of Envtl. Quality, Practice & Procedure, ch. 2, § 14(a).

In this proceeding, the petitioner challenges whether the Department required the proper amount of renewal bond in its letter dated December 16, 2015. (Petition at 4-5). The amount the Department determined was appropriate was higher than the amount that the petitioner proposed in his annual report, filed on or about August 14, 2016. (Petition at 3). The petitioner's consultant, ECS Engineers, assisted with preparing the annual report and calculated the proposed bond amount. (See Petition Ex. B at 8-11). As an employee of ECS, the petitioner has designated Mr. Shawn Gustafson as a potential witness who "may testify as to his knowledge of this matter and any facts pertaining thereto." (See Plaintiff's Witness Designation and Exhibit List at 1). The petitioner did not state that Mr. Gustafson may provide any opinion testimony.

It is likely that the petitioner will ask Mr. Gustafson to testify as a fact witness about the contents of the annual report, the proposed bond amount, and the basis for that proposed amount. The Department recognizes that this kind of testimony of the facts about the annual report would not necessarily constitute an expert opinion or require disclosure of Mr. Gustafson as an expert witness. To the extent that he authored the annual report and the estimated bond amount, he would have first-hand knowledge of their contents and creation.

However, the petitioner *would* elicit an expert opinion from Mr. Gustafson if he is asked to testify about the appropriateness or accuracy of the Department's conclusions stated in the Department's inspection report or the Department's own calculations establishing the bond amount. This is clearly opinion testimony to convey "scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge" to the Council, provided by "a witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education." *See* Wyo. R. Evid. 702; *Smith v. Paiz*, 84 P.3d 1275-79, 2004 WY 14, ¶¶ 8-18 (Wyo. 2014).

By failing to timely disclose him as an expert witness by the May 13 deadline set in the

Order of Schedule, the petitioner failed to comply with the Council's order and denied the

Department the right to have advance notice of Mr. Gustafson's potential expert testimony. See

Wyo. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(A)-(C). This prevented the Department from being able to choose to

depose Mr. Gustafson about his likely expert opinions. See Order of Schedule at 1 (setting

deposition deadline on May 20, 2016).

The petitioner has not designated Mr. Gustafson as an expert and has not stated that his

testimony is intended to provide any opinions. However, the sparse witness description makes the

Department unsure whether the petitioner will seek to have Mr. Gustafson testify about his

opinions of the Department's inspection report and bond calculations. If the petitioner asks Mr.

Gustafson to testify about his expert opinions, the Council should exclude those opinions as a

matter of compliance with the Council's order and of fairness to the Department. Similarly, the

Council should exclude any other expert testimony offered by the petitioner for which no expert

designation was filed.

THEREFORE, the Department respectfully requests that the Council exclude any expert

testimony offered by petitioner or his witnesses for whom he did not file an expert witness

disclosure.

DATED this 10th day of June 2016.

Andrew J. Kuhlmann (Wyo. Bar No. 7-4595)

Senior Assistant Attorney General

Wyoming Attorney General's Office

2320 Capitol Avenue

Cheyenne, WY 82002 T: (307) 777-6946

andrew.kuhlmann@wyo.gov

Counsel for the State of Wyoming Department

of Environmental Quality

4 of 5

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 10th day of June, 2016, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically with the Wyoming Environmental Quality Council's online docket system and served electronically through that system on the following:

Todd Parfitt Director, DEQ todd.parfitt@wyo.gov	Alan Edwards Deputy Director, DEQ alan.edwards@wyo.gov
Heather Jacobsen Jacobsen Law Office, LLC hjlawoffice@wyoming.com	

WYOMING ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE